



# City of Hampton

22 Lincoln Street  
Hampton, VA 23669  
[www.hampton.gov](http://www.hampton.gov)

## Council Approved Minutes - Final City Council Legislative Session

*Mayor Donnie R. Tuck*  
*Vice Mayor Jimmy Gray*  
*Councilmember Chris L. Bowman*  
*Councilmember Eleanor Weston Brown*  
*Councilmember Steven L. Brown*  
*Councilmember Billy Hobbs*  
*Councilmember Chris Snead*

*STAFF: Mary Bunting, City Manager*  
*Cheran Cordell Ivery, City Attorney*  
*Katherine K. Glass, Clerk of Council*

---

**Wednesday, November 10, 2021**

**6:30 PM**

**Council Chambers**

---

### CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Mayor Tuck called the meeting to order at 6:31 p.m. All members of the City Council were present.

**Present:** 6 - Councilmember Chris L. Bowman, Councilmember Eleanor Weston Brown, Councilmember Steven L. Brown, Vice Mayor Jimmy Gray, Councilmember Billy Hobbs and Mayor Donnie R. Tuck

### DONNIE R. TUCK PRESIDED

### INVOCATION - Councilmember Billy Hobbs

Councilman Hobbs gave the invocation.

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG

### MAYOR'S COMMENTS

During Mayor's Comments, Mayor Tuck read and presented a proclamation celebrating 60 years of Hampton's friendship with Southampton, England, one of Hampton's Sister Cities.

President of Sister Cities of Hampton, Dianne Peterson, and Chair and Vice Chair, Geoffrey and Martha Tennille, were also in attendance.

Mrs. Peterson made remarks following the showing of a brief video about Southampton, England.

Mayor Tuck also read and presented a proclamation to Debbie Blanton acknowledging her retirement following long service to the City of Hampton as Coordinator of the Hampton Clean City Commission.

Mayor Tuck also recognized Crystal Clary-Lyons, a Phoebus High School graduate, who was recently inducted into the Lenoir-Rhyne Hall of Fame. Ms. Clary-Lyons was presented with a 2021 City of Hampton challenge coin.

## CONSENT AGENDA

Clerk of Council, Katherine Glass, read the protocol for the consent agenda and a summary of the consent items.

### Approval of the Consent Agenda

Motion made by Councilmember Snead, seconded by Councilmember Hobbs, to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

1. [21-0233](#) Resolution to Amend the Fiscal Year 2022 Council Approved Budget to Accept and Appropriate the 2022 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment ("RSAT") Program Grant awarded by the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services

**Attachments:** [Hampton Sheriff's Office RSAT Grant Award grant proposal overview.rsat](#)  
[RSAT Solicitation Guidelines](#)  
[RSAT Grant Routing Approval](#)

item approved.

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

2. [21-0249](#) Resolution to Amend the Fiscal Year 2022 Council Approved Budget to Accept and Appropriate the 2022 American Rescue

Plan Act Institute of Museum and Library Services Subgrant  
awarded by the Library of Virginia

**Attachments:** [Hampton\\_App.docx](#)  
[Hampton\\_budget.xlsx](#)  
[Hampton\\_ProjectPlan.docx](#)  
[ARPA MOA Hampton.pdf](#)

Item approved.

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown,  
Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember  
Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

3. [21-0299](#) Resolution to Amend the Fiscal Year 2022 Council Approved  
Budget to Accept and Appropriate the 2021 Local Emergency  
Management Performance (LEMP) Grant Awarded through the  
Virginia Department of Emergency Management

**Attachments:** [Grant Award](#)  
[Grant Routing and Overview](#)  
[Grant Application](#)

Item approved.

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown,  
Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember  
Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

4. [21-0181](#) Resolution to Amend the Fiscal Year 2022 Council Approved  
Budget and Appropriate a total of \$49,263.59 from the General  
Fund's Assigned, Committed and Restricted Fund Balances to  
the Operating Budgets of the City Attorney; Emergency  
Management; and Office of Youth and Young Adult  
Opportunities to Complete Activities Started in Fiscal Year 2021  
Using Residual Funding from the Previously Appropriated Fiscal  
Year 2021 Budget

Item approved.

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown,  
Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember  
Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

5.     [21-0295](#)     Resolution to Amend the Fiscal Year 2022 Council Approved Budget and Appropriate \$3,328,351 from Assigned Fund Balance in the General Fund for Bonuses to Employees  
Item approved.
- Aye:** 7 -     Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck
6.     [21-0298](#)     Resolution Approving the Fiscal Year 2022 First Quarter Budget Adjustments
- Attachments:**   [FY22 First Quarter Budget Adjustments](#)
- Item approved.
- Aye:** 7 -     Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck
7.     [21-0300](#)     Ordinance to Amend and Reenact Section 2-134 of the City Code of the City of Hampton, Virginia, Entitled, "Council Approval Prerequisite to Acceptance of Certain Gifts to City; Gifts for undercover Law Enforcement Investigations; Inventory of Gifts" Pertaining to Property Conveyances to which this Section Shall Not Apply
- Attachments:**   [Gift Code amendment 2021 Redlined](#)
- Item approved.
- Aye:** 7 -     Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck
8.     [21-0312](#)     Approval of Hampton City Council Meeting Calendar for 2022
- Attachments:**   [DRAFT - Council Meeting Calendar](#)
- Item approved.
- Aye:** 7 -     Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

9. [21-0284](#) Approval of the minutes from the legislative session of October 13, 2021, and the ceremonial and legislative sessions of October 27, 2021.

Item approved.

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

## PRESENTATIONS, PROCLAMATIONS, AWARDS

There were no items.

## PUBLIC HEARINGS

Ms. Glass read the protocol for public hearings.

## Use Permits

10. [21-0309](#) Use Permit Application by Kristopher W. Wilgus to Operate an Animal Day Care Business at 2409/2411 Kecoughtan Road [LRSN 1003602 and LRSN 1003603]

**Attachments:** [Conditions](#)  
[Application](#)  
[Staff Report](#)  
[Presentation](#)  
[Citizen Letter 1](#)  
[Citizen Letter 2](#)

Ms. Glass read the title for the item.

City Manager Mary Bunting introduced Zoning Administrator, Hannah Sabo, to make the presentation.

Ms. Sabo greeted those on the dais and provided the following background information regarding the item: The application is for an animal daycare at Red Letter Runners and is the first use permit that staff has prepared for an animal daycare. Last year, staff overhauled animal-related uses in the Zoning Ordinance. Animal daycares were previously interpreted to be retail sales. As part of the ordinance amendment last year, staff created a separate animal daycare use recognizing the unique nature of that use and the unique impacts on neighboring properties. This use now requires a use permit in the C-1 district. The use is permitted by right in the C-2 and C-3 districts.

Ms. Sabo reviewed the first half of the slide presentation which included information about the site location; zoning; and the Future Land Use Plan, the Hampton Community Plan and the Kecoughtan Master Plan as they relate to the application.

Ms. Sabo paused to speak about the hours of operation. She explained that the applicant has requested to operate the animal daycare 24 hours a day; however, animal daycares are defined in Hampton's Zoning Ordinance as having stays of less than 24 hours. Twenty-four hour stays are considered to be kennels which are not permitted in the C-1 district due to the impacts on the residential neighborhoods. Staff has proposed a condition which would limit the hours of operation of this use from 6:00 am until 10:00 pm because of the proximity of the residential neighborhoods.

Ms. Sabo noted that the applicant has not agreed to this condition; however, City Council is permitted to impose conditions on a use permit even if the applicant has not agreed to them.

Ms. Sabo reviewed the second half of the slide presentation which provided information related to the applicant's request; staff's analysis of the application; the interior floor plan of the building; the site layout; and the conditions associated with the application.

Ms. Sabo noted that the applicant held a neighborhood meeting and that staff received two letters of opposition.

Ms. Sabo said that staff and the Planning Commission recommend approval of the use permit with the 16 conditions and then noted that she, the applicant, and the applicant's attorney were available to respond to questions.

In response to Vice Mayor Gray, Ms. Sabo clarified that staff recommends approval of the application if the conditions can be met with the limited hours of operation.

Mayor Tuck asked how staff will determine if animals are being kept longer than 24 hours (if the use permit is approved by Council without the 16 conditions).

Ms. Sabo explained that one of the conditions includes a requirement to log when animals come and go; however, it will be difficult for staff to ensure that this is in fact a daycare rather than a 24-hour boarding facility.

Mayor Tuck opened the floor for additional questions and comments. None were posed.

Mr. Kristopher W. Wilgus, Owner of Red Letter Runners, thanked everyone involved in the process and noted that he and his staff look forward to working with the community. He introduced his partner, Misty Collins, and then shared that he and Ms. Collins have a combined lifetime of care in the handling of animals and look forward to bringing that to the community and serving the community and the animals that are in need of their services.

Vice Mayor Gray asked if the applicant is satisfied with staff's recommendation should Council approve the use permit with the restricted hours.

Mr. Wilgus stated that we (the applicant) have agreed to the restricted hours and the proposed conditions and are aware that we can reapply for extended hours after running the business in complete operation for a year.

Councilman Brown noted that concerns were expressed during the Planning Commission meeting about the applicant seeking additional hours following a 6 month time period resulting in this not being a daycare, but a facility where animals will be boarded 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

Mr. Wilgus assured everyone that the business will abide by the guidelines set forth with the 16 conditions.

In response to Councilman Bowman, Mr. Wilgus shared that notifications were sent to those in the community. In addition, a community meeting was held at the Kenny Wallace Center at which representatives from the City and approximately six members of the community were present. He added that two letters of concern were received; however, they were not of immediate issue.

Ms. Collins addressed the 24-hour operation concern and clarified that the intent would be to serve clients such as first responders who are in need of care for their dogs during their work shifts/schedule; however, their animals would not be at the facility for a full 24 hour period.

Councilman Brown commented that this is a slippery slope and he is trying to avoid the applicant from being in violation of hours of operation.

In response to Councilwoman Snead, Ms. Sabo reiterated that a kennel is a 24-hour care facility where animals often reside for multiple days; whereas, animals are dropped off for a short period of time at doggie daycares. She noted that kennels are not permitted in C-1 districts due to noise considerations.

Councilman Brown asked if the applicant's brochure has been updated to indicate that the facility is a daycare so that customers will be aware that this is not a dog training facility or 24-hour boarding facility.

Mr. Wilgus assured everyone that the brochure will be revised once all of the other details have been worked out.

There were no individuals signed up to speak at the public hearing.

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and seconded by Councilmember Chris Bowman, that this Use Permits be approved with sixteen (16) conditions. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 6 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

**Nay:** 1 - Councilmember Brown

11. [21-0303](#) Use Permit Application by Cellco Partnership (d/b/a Verizon Wireless) to Permit a Commercial Communication Tower at 4 North Curry Street [LRSN 12001849]

**Attachments:** [Conditions](#)  
[Application](#)  
[Applicant Photo Simulations](#)  
[Additional Applicant Material A](#)  
[Additional Applicant Material B](#)  
[Staff Report](#)  
[Presentation](#)  
[Presentation - Legal](#)

Ms. Glass read the title for the item.

City Planner Garek Hannigan greeted those on the dais and began reviewing the slide presentation which provided information about the application including site location (Phoebus Recreation Association ball fields); zoning; and the Future Land Use Plan and Public Policy (the Hampton Community Plan and the Phoebus Master Plan) as they relate to the application.

Mr. Hannigan paused to speak about the hierarchy of preferred sites within the



Community Plan. The hierarchy list of preferred sites is included in the slide presentation listed in order of most to least preferred.

The next several slides of the presentation that Mr. Hannigan shared displayed a map of the search area for the project; indicated potential locations which did not meet the applicant's coverage objective; indicated the location of the proposed site; and provided information about the size of the tower and what the area would look like once the tower is in place.

Mr. Hannigan returned to the list of preferred sites and said that the most suitable site within downtown Phoebus is the low/medium density residential area, 7th on the preferred list. He also shared the following reasons why some of the other preferred sites were not selected: there were no existing towers within the applicant's search ring that could meet their coverage objectives; the only tall building of opportunity was the VA water tower site which will be used in the future; there are no large industrial sites in Phoebus; there are no approved school sites; the commercial area of Phoebus is not large and expansive enough; the commercial core of Phoebus significantly contributes to the Phoebus Historic District; and there are no high density residential areas within the search ring.

Mr. Hannigan stated that staff contacted the State Historic Preservation Officer at the Virginia Department of Historic Resources to ensure that the proposal would be in alignment with the existing historic district and would not detract from the historic district. The office agreed that this was the most preferable site outside of the historic district which would not detract from the historic district and that the tower would blend in with the existing ball lights there; however, would have liked to have seen the tower pushed further away from the direct borders of the historic district.

Mr. Hannigan briefly spoke about the conditions associated with the proposal (the conditions are outlined in the presentation) and then turned the floor over to Deputy City Attorney Bonnie Brown to share the legal considerations of the proposal.

In response to Mayor Tuck's question about this interfering with baseball activities, Mr. Hannigan explained that the compound will not interfere with existing fields because they are situated outside of that area. The batting cage will be moved to accommodate this enclosed area and there will be no other impact on the ball fields or the area the little league will use.

Ms. Brown shared a bit of information about the legal considerations on the matter. Localities may not deny an application on the basis of health effects from tower emissions; the applicant's specific need for the project, including the applicant's desire to provide additional wireless coverage or capacity; and the type of wireless

technology selected by the applicant. Localities may consider the existence of reasonable alternative sites; consistency with the Community Plan; compliance with applicable zoning regulations; and the impacts of the project (character of the tower) on the surrounding neighborhood. This information is outlined in the final slide of the presentation.

Mr. Hannigan returned to the podium and stated that staff and the Planning Commission recommend approval of the application with 10 conditions. He noted that the applicant and the applicant's attorney were in attendance.

Zoning Counsel for Verizon Wireless, Lisa Murphy, who was accompanied by Jeff Holland and John Vanderplug, greeted those on the dais and began the presentation on behalf of the applicant. She also complimented Mr. Hannigan and Ms. Brown for doing an excellent job of explaining the process and the law.

Ms. Murphy provided some data about the increasing number of people who use wireless services and the increasing reasons why they use them, some of which include to download videos and take pictures, to obtain medical appointment information and to participate in online learning. This has created a need for more robust wireless services which require more data, towers and network capacity.

Ms. Murphy addressed Mayor Tuck's question and explained that, because this is a stealth site, it is disguised; the equipment is along the fence line in its own fenced compound; the equipment is 70 feet away from the pole; and there are lines underground connecting the equipment within the chain-linked fence.

Ms. Murphy also briefly spoke about state and federal regulations and historic resources. She shared some of the State Historic Resource Office requirements and the similarities between this project and projects at school sites.

Ms. Murphy reminded everyone that the requirements of the Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning Ordinance have been satisfied and that staff and the Planning Commission recommend approval; therefore, the applicant respectfully requests that Council approve the use permit. She then opened the floor for questions.

Councilman Bowman asked if the Phoebus Recreation Association ball field will receive any revenue.

Ms. Murphy explained that, as with any wireless facility, the wireless company enters into a long-term ground lease with the landlord. Verizon Wireless has entered into a long-term lease with Phoebus Recreation Association (this is contingent on approval of the tower).

In response to Councilman Bowman's question about the City, the Phoebus Recreation Association and other cellular companies' use of the tower, Ms. Murphy said that the facility will be built for two users, one for Verizon Wireless and one for an additional user. The tower would be available for use by any interested user; however, that user would be required to enter into a lease with Verizon Wireless.

A brief discussion took place between Councilman Bowman and Ms. Murphy regarding other options that were considered as a location for the tower including Fort Monroe, Phoebus High School, Jane H. Bryan Elementary School and Robert R. Moton Early Childhood Center.

**PUBLIC HEARING:**

Mr. Joe Griffith greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I'm here in my role as neighborhood commissioner for Phoebus tonight. I am troubled that we are here at all tonight because it means that we haven't cooperated as well as we could have in the time leading up to this, and I feel it could have been avoidable. There is never a need for any of us to rely on a global telecommunications company to resolve our problems locally. One of the core tenants of asset-based community development, the methodology that Phoebus has adopted and seen so much success over the last couple of years, is we always help ourselves before asking for outside help for our community. Doing so brings untapped gifts from the community, forges new relationships between neighbors and empowers ordinary folks to make a lasting difference in their neighborhood. Unfortunately, as a community, I don't think we understood how much the little league has needed our support until this tower proposal surfaced, especially after COVID-19 reduced the number of sign-ups for the organization. The fortunate side effect of these issues (inaudible word) is residents in the neighborhood who want to help have already been identified, and I trust that we will see increased community involvement with the organization. There's also the potential to build on this momentum and, as a neighborhood, contribute regularly to fundraising through sweat equity like painting workdays and sourcing and spreading dirt in the fields, and I feel there is great potential for that to materialize. I have confirmed as much with several neighbors here tonight, as well as my fellow board members of the Partnership for a New Phoebus and I'm positive that support could grow because of the wide geographic area that the little league serves. It may not be as easy as saying yes to a cellphone tower, but it's a positive result for everybody in the neighborhood. However, if this tower is built, I'm afraid that support simply won't be there. Residents will look up at the tower as a constant reminder that no one listened, that residents simply didn't want this in their neighborhood. We have to listen to the residents who will live with this every day. I ask the Council to defer the

vote on this proposal for a period of 12 months and give Phoebus the opportunity to work together and avoid the wounds that the tower would inflict on the community. There's a way to make this work for everyone and if anyone can do it, it's Phoebus. Thank you.

Mayor Tuck asked those in the audience to refrain from clapping in an attempt to maintain a sense of decorum during the meeting.

Mr. Joe Skelly greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: This process has not had a lot of transparency, although you may have tried for it to. A lot of people were not notified until two days before various hearings. That is a problem. The other thing is that the credibility of Verizon in this is missing. They say that they have no additional ability for capacity without making this move. I cannot believe that Verizon is unable to have a backup plan for this facility if it doesn't happen. Is Verizon going to then suddenly close their doors? I don't think so. I think they are going to use the cell tower or the water tower over at the VA and they are going to use whatever else they need to do to make it so they have higher capacity at the tunnel. It seems that your hands are tied by these laws that are passed, but who is going to pay when somebody comes up with a health problem that causes them to have a lifetime disability? Are they going to come back against the Phoebus Little League? Sometimes these people get paid \$10,000 per year; sometimes they get paid up to \$45,000 per year, but a lifelong disability claim for health or mental reasons is going to dwarf that and make it look like a joke. Does that mean that the City will then be liable for it? Who is going to be liable for that? Now, some of the things are just amazing here. The property values in survey after survey go down when you are near a cellphone tower. People do not want to be near them. This is smack up against (in every slide) the historic district. You have spent so much time making Phoebus a better place, and I'd like to congratulate the Council for doing an amazing job of that. And then, to put an 11-story tall tower up right in the middle of it and to say it's stealthy - there's nothing stealthy about something that's 11 stories high when the housing surrounding the entire thing are only 35 feet tall. By the way, this is not a school property. Okay. No matter how much a good Council would like to say...It's just like the school properties...it is not like the school properties. It's different decision making. It's different individuals. We're already looking at possibly renting out Sly Clyde's so we can do a fundraiser, so it is unnecessary for the little league to resort to this kind of a thing. We would appreciate more support from Council to the little league by helping them with grants, by showing them which ways to go so that they don't have this as an issue.

Mayor Tuck informed Mr. Skelly that his speaking time had expired and that several other individuals were signed up to speak; therefore, he could not allow him any additional speaking time. Mr. Skelly thanked Council for their time.

Ms. Susan Skelly greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I've been a Hampton homeowner since 1996. I'm speaking here concerning a possibility that a 134 foot cellphone tower will be put in a Phoebus neighborhood with hundreds of homes surrounding it and would be directly across the street from Saint Mary Star of the Sea School which has students from three-years-old to eighth grade and has been educating our children for over 90 years. Over the years, Phoebus has gone from a rundown area of Hampton to a vibrant community with restaurants, businesses, stores and more people moving into Phoebus. Now Phoebus is a nice area to move into and live. I want to tell you that I was one of the eight people at the original August 17th meeting at the Phoebus Community Center with the Verizon lawyer and the Verizon employee talking to us. The Verizon lawyer told us, all eight of us, that they want to put that 130 foot tower at the ball field so that it is close to I-64 and the Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel (HRBT), so the cars going down I-64 and across the tunnel to God knows where will get better cellphone reception. That is not in the best interest of the Phoebus community. That leads me into something else. I've been a RN for 42 years. Different sides can site different studies to back up whatever they want to claim, most of us here know that; however, I do know this, and it is medical fact: young minds develop and mature until age 25. Think about that. Would I want to be living near a cellphone tower or have my child or children going to a school right across the street from a 134 foot cellphone tower? I would not want to live in a neighborhood with that cellphone tower and let's be really honest about it, we really don't know the medical risks of this tower being right there among hundreds of homes. I have seen it over my 42 years as a RN, a study or someone will say...Oh, it will be fine...until a bunch of people come up with physical problems such as cancer or lifelong mental health problems. I have personally seen this. Remember I said earlier, young brains develop and mature until age 25. Our daughter went to all eight grades at Saint Mary's, so I want to see that school thrive and educate our children for many years in the future, not have prospective parents of students come by and say...oh, I'm not going to put my child in that school with a 134 foot cellphone tower there. I urge you to vote no. Thank you very much.

Mr. Nathanael Miller greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I back up to the ball field with two properties. I have two kids. I think you see them in the packet on your desk. I was a little late, a little tardy in getting the slides up before the talk. I'm also aware that the considerations cannot be health related, cannot be coverage related and cannot be technology related by this board. I've lived in Hampton 11 years; born and raised in Virginia. I went to school down in Old Dominion University. I represented NASA at the White House on different issues related to satellites. I'm competent to understand all of the above with regard to technology. What I do want to share today, from my perspective, is the way that this has unfolded in the process for both the information sharing of needs and of

plans has been less than accessible to the community at large. We, in Phoebus, live very much on top of each other in a lot of ways. I think on slide two, you can see my back door. I actually look out and see the little league field right there. You can also see the field of regard that was considered for where and who would be notified. My houses are immediately outside that field of regard. Additionally, I have not seen...a few slides further, there's a landscape map. I went out and did an engineering rendering with the competencies that I have. I have not actually seen a fair representation of what the structure would look like from anywhere other than an immediate street. The immediate neighborhood is there, but the aesthetic of the neighborhood overall is not. I couldn't help but noticing Phoebus' historic, small-town charm, new culture and adventures. Which brings me to the culture. I have prepared for you, by the hand of Tim Receveur, the Phoebus Historian, a bit of history on the Phoebus Little League. There's actually, a pretty significant amount of culture that little league brings: life lessons, community growth. I also need to talk about Roy. Roy was my panhandler down in Phoebus. He'd say...give me a dollar, you got a dollar. (I'd say) No, Roy, I'll take you to the grocery store, I don't have a dollar. He got shot and killed Thursday, two weeks ago, five blocks from my house. We have a situation that is so bad. We have a situation right now where we have a ball field that needs the City, specifically, you can see the reasons and the needs that that ball field and that little league have that reaches the City, but does not reach the neighborhood. We've got a situation where the neighborhood does not reach the ball field.

Mr. Miller's speaking time expired before he was able to complete his statement.

Mrs. Frances Siragusa greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: Per the Hampton.gov site, it states the recommendations for the Phoebus Master Plan focus on architecture, the rich history of the area, the close proximity to Fort Monroe and the artistic flavor of the commercial district. I believed in that plan the City presented, and like others, I moved there. I'd like the Council to recognize that a community's aesthetic well-being can contribute to stability and that a visually satisfying area can stimulate an identity and pride which is the foundation for social responsibility and citizenship. Examples of this in Phoebus range from increasing home values and exciting night life to the volunteerism of community gardens, clean-ups and even an environmental grant that was won. I and others have talked with most of the neighbors. I haven't found one property owner that is for the 11-story monopole and power building. The little league would benefit from the rent and they have the right to be profitable, but do they have a right to allow visual pollution to interfere with the landscape, water views and a thriving commercial thoroughfare? This will affect all three, and be twice as high as any structure in Phoebus. Please don't allow this tower to infringe upon the town's desire to maintain its scenic views and charm. Thank you.

Mr. Joe Walsh greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I'm a son of Phoebus. My dad was born and raised in Phoebus. His dad was born and raised in Phoebus. My great-grandfather settled in Phoebus a long, long time ago. I spent five days a week in Phoebus at my grandparents' house after school and when my parents were working. I've seen booms and busts in Phoebus. I've seen Mellen Street be completely empty and I've seen Mellen Street have business. I worked at Mugler's for three years. But, the fact is, I've never seen a boom like what Phoebus is getting at the moment. This tower does nothing to help that boom continue. It kills momentum instead of fostering it. I also want to mention that I know the Council cannot consider health effects; however, I live directly across the street from the ball field and I have a six-year-old son. I have to consider the health effects and so far, after attending the community meeting, I have heard no reassurances that my six-year-old won't turn into a thirty-six-year old who has health issues because of his growing up in Phoebus, which is hard for me to believe. One of the questions, if I was on the Council, I would ask is does anybody who supports this tower actually live in Phoebus? The community should be stronger than a board, should be stronger than a corporation, and I think that's an important issue. Couple of fun facts before my time expires here. There's been a lot of talk about a stealth tower. There's nothing stealth about a 134 foot tower. As an example, this building has a great view. I can almost see my house from here. This building is 108 feet tall. The Chamberlin is 100 feet tall. We are now asking to put a 36 foot taller tower smack in the middle of a residential area of Phoebus. The ball field, if you look at the map, is surrounded by homes, including mine. This isn't just a - there are no other tall buildings there. I can tell you that for a fact because I just built a house in Phoebus, and I was told that I couldn't build a third story on that home because it would make the house too tall. My question would be why this tower gets easier permitting than my house did where I'm going to raise a family in Phoebus. Another thing that won't be told to you is at the community meeting, VDOT, the VA, Saint Mary Star of the Sea School - the reason they are not building the tower there is because those places rejected the idea, this kind of monstrosity on their property. Thank you very much for your time.

Mr. James Cole greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I am the President of Phoebus recreation, here in Phoebus, of the property that you all are actually talking about. Phoebus Little League was established in 1953. That's 68 years that we've actually been doing things, keeping a safe location for kids to play baseball, stay off the streets, give them some athletics to do. As of right now, we carry more than 600 kids a year at that league with zero government funding, zero help whatsoever or assistance. There's much needed help for the kids. There's much needed help for the property. I know you said you rode by and looked at our complex. You've probably seen the fence that's all wavy like this all the

way down and all the way around it. You've seen the poles that have been there since the late 50's. The telephone poles that have been there and that is one of the poles that they are actually going to be replacing is a pole that has been there since the 50's. I have come to the City of Hampton Parks and Recreation and asked for help. The answer I got was it's not the City's property, so they have nothing to do with it. So, you know, that's where we've gotten to this point and I'd like to ask - I did look and see that we checked different funding. We did go to the New Phoebus. We did have a meeting with the Partnership of the New Phoebus. It was one meeting and then kind of no answered phone calls later after that. I'm here to answer any questions or any concerns that City Council may have.

Mayor Tuck thanked Mr. Cole for his comments and noted that Council does not typically ask questions of those speaking during public comment.

Mr. Cole said that he would answer Mayor Tuck's question about the taking of the space for the fenced-in compound and continued with his statement: we would actually have to move a batting cage maybe 10 feet down. That's it. And, it is in a spot that we do not even use the property.

Mayor Tuck thanked Mr. Cole for his additional comment and called upon the next speaker.

Ms. Rebecca Belvin greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I have been a Phoebus resident for eight years. I love living in Phoebus. I love being a part of this amazing community. I have been a member of the Phoebus Enhancement Committee for two years. We do a ton of volunteer work to make Phoebus a better place to live. We pick up trash one Saturday a month at the Phoebus Waterfront Park. Last year, we hosted a food drive for Phoebus families in need. We also did an entire Phoebus neighborhood-wide trash cleanup in April of 2021 with over 75 volunteers from the community. We recently just completed a very large weeding and planting project at the park to prevent flooding in our community. I enjoy volunteering and doing hard work with this committee because I love living in Phoebus. It is hard work, doing these projects, though. We have to fundraise, apply for grants and most of the time, beg people to volunteer with us. It's all worth it though. I've also played sports throughout all my childhood, and it wasn't all just fun and games. We, as athletes, and our parents had to fundraise yearly for our equipment, expenses (and) travel. Again, hard work, but it's all worth it when you get to play a sport you love. My mom was a single parent and had to volunteer all the time at concession stands or being a timer at my swim meets. I understand that Phoebus Little League wants the money from building this 11-story, 5G tower to upgrade their field, but playing sports has never been just about practice and playing games. It's about volunteering, fundraising, hard work and discipline on and off the



field. Over the past decade, I've seen Phoebus transform into the amazing and lively neighborhood it is today. When I moved to Phoebus in 2014, it was not so great. Phoebus is fragile. It has always been on the edge of success or failure. By building this 11-story tower, it will negatively impact the businesses, property values and beautiful, historic character of our waterfront neighborhood. This is a very large, very permanent eyesore and decision that will impact Phoebus forever. Thank you.

Ms. Julia Siragusa greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I'm not doing very well because I am very upset about this 5G tower. I've been studying this since I was 18 and my worst nightmare has come true. So, I got my information from a guy who has a bachelor's degree in chemistry and biological science. 5G millimeter waves go through the body and destroy the body's vitamin D producing capabilities poisoning us with the frequency that affects the oxygen molecules. The United States Government refuses to look at the obvious ways to determine if this is safe. The first place on earth to use 5G was Wuhan, China. 5G is banned in several different countries and counties, like Israel, and the counties in Ireland, the UK, Australia, Italy and actually California in some places have halted 5G due to adverse health effects. It seems to me, the only people who want this cell tower are getting paid thousands of dollars. Literally, no one in Phoebus wants this by our homes. I also wanted to show you - they said it was Dominion (it wasn't Verizon) who destroyed an osprey nest. This is the osprey nest...I took a picture before they took it down. I don't know who it was, but this is very illegal. It's just very sad and disappointing. Also, I wanted to say no one wants this weapon by their property. We must protect the children. There's so many children that live there and, you know, they haven't studied this enough. They took thirty-year-old information that wasn't even approved and I think it's a weapon, honestly. I think it's, you know, and then they destroyed wildlife to build this. I think that this is a war on oxygen. There's so much misinformation about cell towers like this and it's being told to us that you can download a 2-hour movie in 30 seconds which is a complete lie. They told us they can only travel 500 feet, which I live right by the house with my mom. She actually spoke up here. I live across the street from where this is going to be built which is just very scary to me, and that's why I'm shaking. Thank you for listening and I hope you all have a blessed day. Thank you.

Mayor Tuck announced that Ms. Siragusa was the last person signed up to speak; closed the public hearing; and called for questions and comments from Council on this matter. None were posed.

Mayor Tuck reminded the public that Council is restricted in what it can consider as described by Deputy City Attorney Bonnie Brown.

Some of the members of Council made brief remarks prior to casting their votes.

A motion was made by Councilmember Snead and seconded by Councilmember Hobbs, that this Use Permits be approved with ten (10) conditions . The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 5 - Councilmember Weston Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

**Nay:** 2 - Councilmember Bowman and Councilmember Brown

## Ordinances

12. [21-0310](#) Ordinance to Amend and Re-Enact the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Hampton, Virginia by Amending Section 3-2 entitled, "Table of Uses Permitted" and Section 3-3 Entitled, "Additional Standards on Uses," to Modify Where and How the Uses of Parking Lots and Parking Garages are Permitted

**Attachments:** [Red Line](#)

[Table of Uses Permitted in Standard Zoning Districts](#)

[Table of Uses Permitted in Special Zoning Districts](#)

[Redline Table of Uses Permitted in Standard Zoning Districts](#)

[Redline Table of Uses Permitted in Special Zoning Districts](#)

[Staff Report](#)

[Presentation](#)

Ms. Glass announced that a joint public hearing will take place on items 12 and 13; however, each item will require a separate vote. She then read the titles for both items.

Zoning Administrator, Hannah Sabo, reviewed the first few slides of the presentation which provided examples of accessory parking lots and primary use parking lots.

Ms. Sabo spoke a bit about the goals of the amendment which are to address unique needs of redevelopment sites and places of assembly in residential districts such as religious facilities; maintain and enhance the City's ability to attract sources of economic growth; minimize adverse impacts of new parking lots with low impact features; streamline City parking lot projects; and not impact parking lots developed on parcels with a primary use.

Ms. Sabo provided information about the existing ordinance and the proposed ordinance as it relates to private parking garages/lots and public parking garages/lots. This information is outlined in the remaining slides of the presentation.

Ms. Sabo stated that staff and the Planning Commission recommend approval of both Zoning Ordinance Amendments and then opened the floor for questions from Council. No questions or comments were presented.

A joint public hearing was held on items 21-0310 and 21-0311 but there were no speakers on either item.

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and seconded by Councilmember Steven Brown, that this Zoning Ordinance - Text be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

13. [21-0311](#) Ordinance to Amend and Re-Enact the Zoning Ordinance of the City of Hampton, Virginia by Amending Section 2-2 Entitled, "Definitions" Pertaining to Parking Lot and Parking Garage Definitions

**Attachments:** [Red Line](#)  
[Staff Report](#)  
[Presentation Reference](#)

Presented by Hannah Sabo, Zoning Administrator. A joint public hearing was held on items 21-0310 and 21-0311 but there were no speakers on either item.

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and seconded by Councilmember Chris Bowman, that this Zoning Ordinance - Text be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

## Resolutions

14. [21-0270](#) Resolution Authorizing the Grant of a Dumpster Enclosure Use Agreement to Partnership for a new Phoebus, Inc., Over a

Portion of City Property Known as 8 South Hope Street for the Use of a Brick Enclosure to House Solid Waste Containers for Use by Restaurants and other Retail Business in the Vicinity of the Enclosure

Attachments: [Exhibit A Dumpster Enclosure Use Agreement](#)  
[Dumpster Enclosure Agreement CAO Draft 9.16.21](#)

Ms. Glass read the title for the item.

Ms. Bunting made the following remarks: We have a City public parking lot that is located at the corner of County Street and Hope Street. In 2016, out of concern for public safety and health and aesthetics (not wanting to have multiple dumpsters located in the parking lot for each of the different restaurants that are on Mellon Street), the City constructed in the corner of that parking lot, a brick enclosure for the purpose of providing a shielded area to house the solid waste containers for the use by the restaurants that then existed in the vicinity. Over time, we've added more restaurants and those dumpsters are currently not able to be used by all of the businesses. The past agreement in 2016 was facilitated between the City of Hampton and Richard Levin, an owner of the restaurant properties that were using that. As more have grown, we've had requests from restaurants to add more dumpsters. Rather than adding more dumpsters and taking away precious parking space, we have worked out a deal through the Partnership for a New Phoebus, Inc., in which they would take responsibility for expanding service and managing those dumpsters for all of the restaurants as opposed to just those that originally were using the dumpsters there in 2016. Mr. Levin has been amenable to terminating the 2016 agreement that was in place so that the Partnership can provide the expansion of solid waste services. We will be able to meet the needs of all of the restaurants including those new ones by the Partnership expanding service and offering pick-ups on Monday thru Saturday where previously, the ones that used those dumpsters only had twice a week pick-ups. We are very pleased that we've been able to work on an agreement with the Partnership for a New Phoebus, all the businesses that run the restaurants and the property owners to come to what we think is a great conclusion for shared dumpster space and to retain the parking spaces that we really need in the area. Staff recommends approval.

Mayor Tuck opened the floor for questions. No questions were posed.

PUBLIC HEARING:

Mayor Tuck opened the public hearing. There were no speakers. Mayor Tuck closed the public hearing and called for the motion and second.

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and

seconded by Councilmember Steven Brown, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

## **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Ms. Glass read the protocol for the public comment period.

Mr. Craig Knopp greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: Basically, I just wanted to come to say some good stuff about Hampton. I'm going to tell you this guys, Hampton's Clean City Council (Commission) is one of the best things that this City has. I use it a lot for volunteering. I'm going to tell you this: I've seen a lot of people come out and be happy about helping to volunteer. I did not realize how enthusiastic people can be about it, but they are, and I love it. And, the best part about it is Hampton makes it so simple to do this stuff. You call in, get the stuff ready, go pick it up, take it back and record what we cleaned up. And, with multiple sites the peninsula project has, which is a group I started, along with a couple of other groups I've had, we've seen a significant decrease in the amount of trash. One of the sites, I had 10 bags, we started back in May, I believe, and now we are down to a consistent maybe 2 or 3 bags the last 2 or 3 months. It's phenomenal. I love it. Thank you for this. One of the things too, we clean up down on Freeman Street. The trails down there: I've never taken the waterfront trail. So, I was like...I clean this area all the time, I'm going to take it. On Sunday, I took my son there. If anybody knows my son, my son loves biking. He loves the BMX Park over there by Gosnold's, he loves that. I forgot about the trail going all the way over to the Air Park, so we went over there, rode the whole thing. My son was enthusiastic. He loved the little bridges here and there. It was great. Thank you very much. Thank you.

Mr. Edwin Boone greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I'm here to confront the City Council and the City about all the killing that is going on in the City of Hampton. I'm a part of the organization, Stop the Violence - Best Kept Secret. We've been shut down for almost a year now. Out of nowhere, here comes the police banging on the door and we thought you all were doing something when you all gave us the approval for the first spot at 20 West Mercury Boulevard then we got shut down for six months and we couldn't afford it. So, then we moved across the street at 2021 King Street, so I'm here to present this to the City Council members. Also, Hampton got the great potential of doing the right thing for the citizens. Right now, we are paying for the two schools which was \$75 million and its cost of running ends up being over \$90 million. So, that's two schools, \$15 million

each, times two equals \$30 million. That's a thirty-year note. And, then we have the new pool: that's \$30 million. So, that's \$60 million, to me, wasted on a thirty-year note which may end up compounding interest daily over \$160 million through a thirty-year note. That's where the money is going. If the money was properly appropriated for the citizens, we could give them jobs and get them off the street. The citizens, the parents are held responsible for the bills that need to be paid and that lowers the quality of life. The other day, three or four people got shot right down the street from the hospital. In my neighborhood, an individual got a knife in his neck, and right across the street, these youngsters shot a female. There's a lot of killing going on that's being ignored. Plus, we have tens of thousands, tens of millions of people every day going through the tunnel going toward Norfolk and coming back out, but nobody has the idea to stop into Hampton. We should have a better quality of life in the City of Hampton, but from mismanagement and wasted funds, we are stuck with thirty-year notes. So, I'm proposing that the City Council use this to get the money back. Also, I have a meeting with you on the 3rd of December. Please show up.

Mr. Boone also gave members of City Council a copy of his poem, Insight.

Mayor Tuck informed Mr. Boone that his speaking time had expired.

Mr. Chris Mathews greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I just wanted to say that I've been working with Craig Knopp on this (peninsula project) for some time now and I think we are doing excellent things for the City of Hampton and Newport News. I did want to ask you and everyone in this room if there is anything that you see that we can be doing that hasn't been addressed. It's simple volunteer work, but I think we can all do more and there's plenty of stuff we can do around the City of Hampton. I did want to inquire, as someone who is prospecting to be a business owner in the near future, I don't know the process of dealing with the City planners and the City Council here in Hampton and how to get my business moving in conjunction with the City of Hampton. So, that is something that I would like to learn more about and find resources about, but I don't know where I'd find that right now though. That's about all I have to say. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Dave Hites greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: I appreciate everybody giving us time to address you tonight. I got a property tax bill on my house for \$1,885 and I don't mind paying that because I appreciate driving on the roads, I appreciate the Fire Department, the Police Department and all of these things and I understand that it takes property taxes to do that, but I also got this bill. I just bought a new car. Okay. It's the first car we've had this new in ten years. I'm sorry I bought it now because this bill is \$557 with the \$200 discount for

six months. I don't know about you - I don't know how much all of you guys make, but somebody making \$30,000 a year - that's over 2% of their annual income for this tax, okay. Now, that's in addition to my registration that I pay and all of the other fees that come along that are associated with a vehicle. My wife and I both work and I was unemployed until two days ago for two months. So, when we got this bill, I was like, oh, that's a really nice surprise. Happy Thanksgiving. But, I understand everybody gets these and I think it is just a little ridiculous that property taxes are being charged for a vehicle. California got rid of this with Proposition 103. Virginia has not done that yet, hopefully with a change in leadership that has happened recently, some changes might be made to really cut this bill down or get rid of it altogether. Maybe for veterans or a select group. Give us a break. This is a lot of money that people have to put out for the privilege of driving a car. If a police officer has to come knock on my window and remind me to unplug my coffee maker and plug in my shaver in the morning, then you can charge me property tax for my car, okay. My son doesn't get charged for his - I don't get charged property tax on his hoverboard. That goes up and down the streets. It has wheels on it. It goes outside. I don't see why vehicles should be any different. I appreciate your time. Thanks.

Mr. A.C. Cordoza greeted those on the dais and made the following statement: Most of you guys know me or have heard of me before. I've been up here a couple of times. I'm an Air Force Veteran. I work at Newport News Shipbuilding and I'm honored to have been elected to represent half of the City of Hampton in this past election. I look forward to talking with each of you individually and my commitment will remain focused on the betterment of our community. As your representative in the Capitol, I worked to lower our tax burden; ensure our public safety; and defend our constitutional rights. I'm here today to listen and hopefully work to pass our legislative priorities in Richmond. I want to encourage all of our constituents to reach out to my office as I am ready and willing to serve. Additionally, I have seen tonight that the City's hands have been effectively tied by some state laws. So, in addition to your legislative package that I hope you will send to my office, I would like you to make recommendations on any of the ways that you feel our states laws have wrongfully tied your hands, so in my capacity, I may untie them. So, I really appreciate your help. I can feel the frustration from people when that was unfortunately needed to be passed. I will do everything in my power to make sure that that does not happen again and that you will have the power you need to make the decisions that are best for the City of Hampton, and with that, I thank you and look forward to working with all of you.

Mayor Tuck addressed Mr. Boone's comments to correct some misinformation as City staff went above and beyond to attempt to assist his organization with their Use Permit. The Mayor also shared things which the City Council, and former City Councils, have done to address violence in the community and to put resources toward that problem.

Mayor Tuck also thanked Mr. Knopp for his efforts and commended those willing to roll up their sleeves to do the many things which need to be done. He welcomed Mr. Mathews.

He suggested Mr. Hites communicate with the Commissioner of the Revenue.

Mayor Tuck congratulated Mr. Cordoza and thanked him for his willingness to work with Hampton.

The City Manager, Mary Bunting, spoke about the small business program with Economic Development and the efforts of Erica Spencer. Ms. Bunting also shared that when the City borrows money it is typically on twenty (20) year notes and currently interest rates are very low and Hampton's credit rating is the second highest you can get.

## GENERAL ITEMS

### Resolutions

15.     [21-0302](#)     Resolution Approving of the City of Hampton's Participation in the Proposed Settlement of Opioid-Related Claims, including those against Mckesson, Cardinal Health, Amerisourcebergen, Janssen, and their Related Corporate Entities, and directing the City Manager to execute the documents necessary to effectuate the City of Hampton's Participation in these and Future



Settlements

Attachments: [VA Opioid Abatement Fund and Settlement Allocation MOU](#)

Ms. Glass read the title for the item.

City Attorney Cheran Ivery gave the following report on the item: Over the course of several months, the Commonwealth of Virginia, as well as many of its localities, have been engaged in litigation against various supply chain defendants involved in the manufacture, sale and distribution of pharmaceutical, opioid products. These lawsuits sought recovery of public funds expended and monies to be expended in the fight against opioid addiction and its many outgrowths. This litigation has been pursued by many states and localities throughout our country. These claims against certain defendants are starting to come to a close through either settlements or bankruptcy plans which means funds recovered from the litigation will start to flow in the coming months. In particular, a settlement agreement is currently pending with McKesson, Cardinal Health, Amerisourcebergen, Janssen and their related entities. The funds provided to each state will be dependent on the number of localities that participate in settlement agreements. Once the funds are allocated to the Commonwealth of Virginia, the state will in turn allocate some of those funds to individual localities. In order to receive those funds, the City of Hampton must both participate in settlement agreements and enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Commonwealth of Virginia. The MOU is the culmination of many months and negotiation between representatives of Virginia local governments in the Office of the Attorney General on how best to manage and allocate any settlement funds received through parallel litigation efforts of state and local governments. This resolution will authorize and approve the Virginia Abatement Fund and settlement allocation MOU and direct the City Manager to execute and enter into that agreement. The staff is recommending approval of item 21-0302.

Mayor Tuck opened the floor for questions and/or comments. None were posed.

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and seconded by Councilmember Chris Bowman, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

16. [21-0308](#) Approval of the City of Hampton 2022 General Assembly Legislative Priorities

Attachments: [Hampton 2022 Legislative Package](#)

Ms. Glass read the title for the item.

Ms. Bunting reminded everyone that Council has been briefed on this topic twice (most recently at this afternoon's work session) and have been consulted on items they would like included in our legislative priorities. She explained that it is the City's practice to adopt the legislative priority package at the November meeting, and subsequent to the adoption, staff will reach out to our delegates and senators that represent a portion of Hampton. She noted that these meetings will take place prior to the General Assembly session and staff will keep Council and the community posted about legislation that may come up once the session begins. She clarified that the item before Council expresses the priorities Council has previously indicated as interested in communicating to our delegation. Staff recommends approval.

Mayor Tuck opened the floor for questions and/or comments. None were posed.

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and seconded by Councilmember Chris Bowman, that the legislative priorities be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

## Appointments

17. [21-0315](#) Consideration of appointments to Citizens Police Advisory Group (CPAG)

A motion was made by Councilmember Chris Snead and seconded by Councilmember Steven Brown, that this Appointment be deferred to the City Council Legislative Session, due back on 12/8/2021. The motion carried by the following vote:

**Aye:** 7 - Councilmember Bowman, Councilmember Weston Brown, Councilmember Brown, Vice Mayor Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Snead and Mayor Tuck

## REPORTS BY CITY MANAGER, CITY COUNCIL, STAFF, COMMITTEES

There were no reports.

## MISCELLANEOUS NEW BUSINESS

There were no items of new business.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Prior to adjourning, Mayor Tuck expressed his thanks to our country's veterans, and specifically his wife. The meeting adjourned at 8:52 p.m.

**Contact Info:**

**Clerk of Council, 757-727-6315, [council@hampton.gov](mailto:council@hampton.gov)**

---

Donnie R. Tuck  
Mayor

---

Katherine K. Glass, CMC  
Clerk of Council

Date approved by Council \_\_\_\_\_