

City of Hampton

22 Lincoln Street Hampton, VA 23669 www.hampton.gov

Council Approved Minutes - Final City Council Work Session

Mayor Donnie R. Tuck
Vice Mayor Linda D. Curtis
Councilmember Jimmy Gray
Councilmember W.H. "Billy" Hobbs
Councilmember Will Moffett
Councilmember Teresa V. Schmidt
Councilmember Chris Snead

STAFF: Mary Bunting, City Manager Vanessa T. Valldejuli, City Attorney Katherine K. Glass, CMC, Clerk of Council

Wednesday, August 9, 2017

10:00 AM

Community
Development
Conference Room, 5th
Floor, City Hall, 22
Lincoln Street,
Hampton, VA 23669

CALL TO ORDER

Staff in attendance included City Manager Mary Bunting, Senior Deputy City Attorney Patricia Melochick, Deputy City Attorney Veronica Meade, Clerk of Council Katherine Glass, Deputy Clerk of Council Tammy Lee, Director of Budget and Strategic Initiatives Brian DeProfio, Assistant City Managers Steve Bond and James "Pete" Peterson, Community Development Director Terry O'Neill, Finance Director Karl Daughtrey, Public Works Director Lynn Allsbrook, Hampton Redevelopment and Housing Authority Director Ronald Jackson, 311 Customer Call Center Manager David McCauley, Placing Planner Alison Eubank, Housing and Neighborhood Services Division Manager Jonathan McBride, Chief Planner Michael Hayes, Neighborhood Development Associate II Darren Robinson, Safe and Clean Consultant Shellae Blackwell, City Planner Lucy Stoll, Housing Reinvestment Specialist Daniel McCormick, Communications Strategist Robin McCormick, and Fire Chief David Layman.

Mr. Dave Ress of the Daily Press and one member of the public were also in attendance.

Mayor Donnie Tuck noted that City Attorney Vanessa Valldejuli was absent and extended best wishes to her for a speedy recovery.

Mayor Tuck called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. with all members of the City Council present.

Present 7 - Vice Mayor Linda D. Curtis, Councilmember Jimmy Gray, Councilmember Billy Hobbs, Councilmember Will Moffett, Councilmember Teresa V. Schmidt, Councilmember Chris Snead, and Mayor Donnie R. Tuck

DONNIE R. TUCK PRESIDED

AGENDA

10:00 a.m.

1. <u>17-0273</u> Strategic Priorities

Attachments: Presentation

Presentation - FINAL

City Manager Mary Bunting introduced the item.

Director of Budget and Strategic Initiatives Brian DeProfio presented on Strategic Priority Project Options. He also summarized various debt capacity scenarios, project updates, and project funding options. The project update topics include: downtown seafood, downtown development, Buckroe Bay Front infrastructure, the Aquatics Center, the Police Academy, and the Public Works Operations Center.

For the record, Councilman Moffett expressed concern about the future of the Performing Arts Center. Mr. DeProfio stated if the Aquatics Center Potential Option is selected, it will include a plan to move Performing Arts Center programs to the New American Theatre.

Vice Mayor Curtis inquired about the following sentence included in the presentation regarding the Aquatics Center Potential Option: "The national recapture rate for an outdoor splash park component is 131%." Mr. DeProfio clarified that this refers to the cost for the splash park component, not the entire project.

For the record, Ms. Bunting reminded Council that when putting together the options, the consultants had not accounted for staff positions already in the budget; therefore, they brought down staff numbers. The salaries they used were nationwide salaries versus our market salaries; however, we were much closer to breaking even on the operating budget than the figures initially suggested.

A discussion took place among members of Council and staff regarding deficits, lost revenue and funds that will offset lost revenue.

Ms. Bunting noted that staff will continue to observe similar aquatic center facilities across the country in an attempt to compare options with the goal of obtaining a facility which meets our desired standards.

Mr. DeProfio provided information about the Aquatics Center, the Public Works Operations Center and the cost associated with each project.

Councilwoman Snead expressed concern that the cost to rehabilitate the Public Works Operations Center will exceed the estimated \$2 million price tag.

Public Works Director Lynn Allsbrook clarified that \$2 million is a minimal amount which will support upgrades associated with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements, restrooms, the yard, fuel pumps, and major indoor improvements. He added that this is a minimum amount to increase life expectancy of what already exists at this location.

Councilman Hobbs inquired about spending \$2 million over the course of several years to get the facility where it needs to be.

Ms. Bunting emphasized that it will be less expensive to complete site improvements than to pay for a new facility. She expressed the need for Council to concur on whether they would like the current facility upgraded or if they would like the facility relocated.

Mr. DeProfio outlined the three project options. Option 1 - \$74.65 million which would include an aquatics facility. Option 2 - \$74.65 million without an aquatics facility (\$9.15 million for other tourism initiatives). Option 3 - \$46.15 million without an aquatics facility (\$9.15 million for other tourism initiatives). He also shared a summary of the options and the project funding schedule for each option.

Ms. Bunting noted that all of these scenarios will allow us to stay within our goal of maintaining an AA plus bond rating.

Mr. DeProfio opened the floor for questions and/or comments from the members of Council.

Discussion took place among the members of Council, Mr. DeProfio and Ms. Bunting about additional projects and funding for maintenance of aging City buildings such as the City jail, the Hampton Coliseum, Hampton fire stations and City Hall.

Ms. Bunting reminded everyone that there are other funding sources for

maintenance and capital projects.

Mr. DeProfio noted that opportunities exist to refinance bonds to generate additional revenue.

In response to Councilman Gray, Mr. Allsbrook explained that the proposed funding for the Buckroe Boardwalk Renovation would cover minimal repairs on the boardwalk such as concrete, railing and street lights.

Councilman Gray emphasized that the boardwalk should be of world class quality to attract visitors and people who may be interested in investing in million dollar homes in that area. He expressed the need for a more thorough renovation of the boardwalk.

Councilwoman Snead asked where the funds from the proposed hotel tax increase (listed under option 2) will be utilized.

Ms. Bunting clarified that was included in the event Council wanted to do some sort of sports tourism; however, the option not to have the hotel tax still exists.

Mr. DeProfio presented the Councilmembers with key questions regarding their preferences about debt capacity scenarios, project options, and changes to the project funding options. The members of Council shared their preferences. Ms. Bunting reiterated and summarized each preference.

Councilmembers Preferences:

Councilwoman Snead leaned toward Option 1. She indicated that she could not fully support either aquatics center option until more work is done. She suggested staff determine how to fund smaller projects (small amounts of money) such as downtown promenade, park improvement, place making and infield development either through fund balance or the general fund money rather than borrowing money for one-time projects. She indicated that she would like the bond capacity to either create contingency or fund something that is not currently being funded. She emphasized that she would like the Coliseum Drive Redevelopment Project to be completed sooner. For the record, she indicated that she is not in support of the Kecoughtan Corridor Acquisition and would rather those funds be allocated to something which could potentially help the City economically. She supported funding the Public Works facility and other necessary building maintenance projects.

Vice Mayor Curtis was in favor of Option 1. She concurred with Councilwoman Snead's comments; however, indicated that she needs more time to reflect on the

Kecoughtan Corridor Acquisition. She agreed with Councilwoman Snead on funding smaller projects and the timing of Coliseum Drive Redevelopment.

Councilman Hobbs was in favor of Option 1. He supported the need for an Aquatic Center in some fashion particularly for high school students (even if on a small scale). He supported protecting Buckroe and downtown improvements and Coliseum Central.

Councilwoman Schmidt was in favor of Option 1. She indicated that she would like to see the cost of the pool lowered and direct those savings to the Brights Creek Initiative. She also supported the replacement of the Social Services building and funding for the Public Works facility.

Councilman Moffett fell between Option 1 and Option 2. He agreed with Councilwoman Schmidt's position regarding the Aquatics Center and added that he is uncomfortable with spending a lot of money for an Aquatics Center when there are so many other needs in the City. He noted that he would be in agreement with an aquatics facility in the \$18 - \$20 million range, but no more. He supported aggressive Coliseum Central revitalization, specifically the hotel strategy, in an attempt to avoid neighboring cities receiving the economic benefit. He did not support the Kecoughtan Corridor Acquisition and emphasized that those funds could be used for existing needs such as parks, ball fields and neighborhood community centers. He supported the replacement of the Social Services building, but would like to further explore the proposed site. He also supported the Brights Creek Acquisition.

Councilman Gray was in favor of Option 2. He noted that this option has tourism funds set aside which could potentially be used for an aquatics center. He supported the Buckroe Boardwalk Renovation, a smaller scale aquatics center in the \$15 - \$18 million range which would benefit the community, and using the Performing Arts Center for the Police Academy. He also supported the Social Services building as a high priority and agreed that the Brights Creek Acquisition is something for consideration. He emphasized that high quality parks and boardwalks are important because they attract people to the area and encourage them to remain in Hampton. Councilman Gray also suggested that the funds allocated for the Kecoughtan Corridor Acquisition, the Coliseum parking expansion and the Police Academy could potentially be used to fund sports tourism.

For the record, Councilwoman Snead clarified that she would not support a swimming facility for recreational purposes only; however, would support an aquatics center which accommodates competition swimming bringing revenue to our City. She added that since the City has pools which meet the criteria for

recreational swimming and swimming education, she could only support a new facility which generated revenue to the City.

Councilman Hobbs and Vice Mayor Curtis agreed with Councilwoman Snead.

Ms. Bunting reminded everyone that there are provisions which require competition pools to be within a certain price range.

Councilman Moffett asked for an update regarding replacing the turf at Darling Stadium.

Ms. Bunting clarified that the School System was going to fund that project; however, determined that it was too expensive and not worth the money.

Mayor Tuck leaned toward Option 2; however, would support Option 1 if we obtained partnerships with entities such as Hampton University or Langley Air Force Base to reduce the costs for aquatics. He expressed the need for more sports related tourism and determining ways to better utilize the Coliseum. He also emphasized the need to attract young people by exploring activities such as Dave and Busters or a skateboard park. He suggested hiring an architect to advise the City on how to enhance Buckroe. He agreed that something needs to be done with regards to Coliseum Central; however, he is uncomfortable with spending \$15 million for it. He also supported a new Social Services building and improving vistas.

In response to Mayor Tuck, Ms. Bunting spoke about the possibility of Hampton investing with another locality for sports tourism to obtain a return on tax. She clarified that it's easier if the tax return happens on site with a real estate tax or business personal property tax increase because you can determine what is attributable and the share you invested is returned to you. However, sports tourism is not as easily tracked.

In response to Councilman Moffett, Ms. Bunting provided the following update regarding the BMX. She said staff has worked on and off with the BMX; however, a change in their leadership has created some challenges. Another challenge has been the BMX requested the City invest in the track at the current location. However, due to storm water considerations, the City cannot expand there because it is near the water. She continued saying that staff explored other spaces with low price ranges; however, the BMX did not want to relocate. She reiterated that the City has expressed a willingness to revisit this; however, the problem lies within agreement about the site.

In response to Councilman Moffett, Ms. Bunting assured everyone that Langley Air

Force Base appears to be interested in partnering with the City on an aquatics center; however, Federal bureaucracy concerns must be worked out prior to them committing to partner. She shared examples of past partnerships such as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Fire Station which services NASA and the Hampton community; and the Steam Plant. She emphasized that there are models proving that partnerships can be accomplished; however, we must work with Washington to accomplish that goal.

Councilman Moffett asked Ms. Bunting to speak about what is to be expected if a partnership does not surface.

Ms. Bunting explained that we would need to consider the economic and budget impacts. She clarified that a partnership would help mitigate the budget impact; however, shifting some things could also help mitigate the budget. For example, going with a smaller facility or using the teen center for the Police Academy. She added that she would rather run the numbers again and return that information to Council before providing a definitive answer.

In response to Councilman Moffett, Ms. Bunting stated it appears the consensus is that we will be working with \$74.65 million; Council would also like to see how the aquatics number will affect the budget and debt service; the comfort level for the aquatics center ranges from \$18 - \$22 million with the competition requirement; no one challenged Councilman Gray's suggestion to shift funds to assist with funding sports tourism.

Presented by Brian DeProfio, Director of Budget and Strategic Initiatives.

Lunch Recess - approximately noon

Mayor Tuck recessed the meeting at 12:02 p.m.

1:00 p.m.

Mayor Tuck reconvened the meeting at 1 p.m. All members of Council remained in attendance.

2. 17-0271 Branding Update

Attachments: Presentation

City Manager Mary Bunting gave opening remarks. She stated that staff is seeking Council's approval/disapproval of the platform statement being presented today. This preliminary step must be completed prior to moving to the creative element phase of successful branding for Hampton.

Communications Strategist Robin McCormick introduced Mr. Ed Barlow of North Star (our community branding firm) to make the presentation. For the benefit of the public, she clarified that today's goal is to determine how to maximize marketing dollars to create one brand identity for Hampton to improve tourism, our external voice, economic development, and pride in our City.

Mr. Barlow explained that community branding is the emotional connection that people make with your City that motivates their decision making. It also articulates and demonstrates why the City is a preferred location.

Mr. Barlow shared the three phases of the North Star process: research, strategic DNA (of the locality) and creativity/action. He announced Hampton is now in the strategic DNA phase, the phase which reveals what is distinctive about Hampton.

Mr. Barlow shared information about the research process. People within the Hampton community (internal perceptions) and people in surrounding areas (external perceptions) were polled regarding their opinion about various localities within our region. In an attempt to make the survey fair, those polled were initially unaware that information about Hampton would be extracted to determine how people felt about Hampton specifically. Mr. Barlow then shared the results of the survey.

Mr. Barlow emphasized the importance of Hampton not trying to be everything to everyone; otherwise, it will be not much to anyone. He clarified that the platform statement will be broad enough to include all aspects of the community, yet specific enough to be compelling. He reiterated that this step involves determining what is distinctive about Hampton.

Mr. Barlow listed the components ("DNA") of Hampton's proposed platform statement. They include: (1) Target Audience: for those with a keen curiosity and an interest in making history (2) Frame of Reference: Hampton, Virginia, where the Atlantic welcomes the Chesapeake (3) Point of Difference: launches ideas with trajectories that change your world and (4) Benefit: so your creative exploration is fun and rewarding.

Mr. Barlow shared ways in which communities can measure the success of their brand. He also shared examples of communities in New York and California which have developed successful brands.

After sharing the next steps in the process, Mr. Barlow opened the floor for questions and/or comments from the members of Council.

Vice Mayor Curtis commented that the platform statement includes some of the same components which were identified in Hampton's Vision Statement crafted several years ago (history, innovation and water).

In response to Councilwoman Schmidt, Mr. Barlow stated the intent of the statement is to reveal an internal guiding principle rather than to create a wow factor. He explained that this is more than a campaign and includes a strategy regarding what is distinct for the community. He continued saying that the implementation plan will involve bringing that to life and communicating that distinction via tourism, economic development, and community development to bring all parts of the community together in a cohesive way.

In response to Councilman Moffett, Ms. McCormick assured the Councilmembers that they will be provided with regular updates about the project. She also stated that the departments of Economic Development, Marketing and Outreach, and the Hampton Convention Visitors Bureau will work together to market Hampton in order to attract people to live, work, visit and play in our City.

Additional discussion took place among the members of Council, staff and Mr. Barlow regarding the history and innovation of the future of our City.

Councilwoman Snead noted that this is not meant to replace our vision statement; instead, it will help derive a branding campaign to attract people to Hampton. Ms. McCormick agreed.

In response to Councilman Hobbs, Ms. McCormick stated the School System is currently not involved in the process; however, can be invited to participate.

Mr. Barlow added that their recommendation will include ways in which other partners such as the School System can become involved with the project.

Councilwoman Schmidt expressed discomfort with the statement for various reasons. The main one being that the statement makes it appear that Hampton is on the Atlantic when it is actually on the Chesapeake Bay.

Mayor Tuck and Councilman Moffett suggested that the three departments be allowed to work through the process to develop the product.

Councilman Gray reminded everyone that the platform statement is only a starting point for us to develop creativity.

In consideration of time, by a nod of heads, Council agreed that Economic Development, Hampton Convention Visitors Bureau and Marketing and Outreach departments should work together to develop something that Council can agree upon.

For the benefit of everyone, Ms. Bunting stated staff will consider Council's feedback, tweak the statement and provide an update to Council in their Friday memo. By a nod of heads, Council agreed to the City Manager's suggestion.

Presented by Robin McCormick, Communications Strategist, and Ed Barlow of North Star.

3. 17-0248 Old North Hampton Neighborhood Plan Update Briefing

Attachments: Plan Update

Briefing Memo

Presentation - preliminary

Presentation - Final

City Manager Bunting provided opening remarks.

Housing and Neighborhood Services Division Manager Mr. Jonathan McBride presented on how Neighborhood Plans work.

Mr. McBride described the purpose for each type of geographic plan. Community Plans overarch City policy, direction and priorities. Master Plans focus on implementation of strategic areas and the physical aspects of the community for a large area. Neighborhood Plans focus on efforts in smaller geographic areas.

Mr. McBride provided examples of Neighborhood Plans which were developed in Hampton in past years. He also shared information about the Hampton Housing Venture Area, the Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Area (NRSA) and the North King Street Master Plan.

Housing Reinvestment Specialist Mr. Daniel McCormick spoke about the Old North Hampton Neighborhood Organization Plan. He emphasized that Housing and Neighborhood Services staff have diligently worked with the Old Northampton Organization to develop this Plan.

Mr. McCormick shared the key parts of the Plan update process. He noted that this in-depth Plan involved many stakeholders in the community as well as the Neighborhood Organization. The Organization spent 28 hours in planning sessions and eight key members of the leadership team spent approximately 224 man hours working on the Plan.

Mr. McCormick read the Old North Hampton Plan Vision Statement. He also shared a series of goals developed during the 2017 Plan update process and contrasted those goals to the goals listed in the 2001 Plan.

Safe and Clean Consultant Ms. Shellae Blackwell pointed out that the makeup of the neighborhood in 2001 was 70% homeownership and 30% rental; whereas, those numbers have been reversed in 2017. She added that the focus of the community at that time was the revitalization of the Y.H. Thomas Community Center for the youth; however, with the aging population, the focus has shifted to making the housing stock more appealing to people in various developmental stages of life (young families, people raising children, empty nesters, and aging seniors who are downsizing).

Mr. McCormick continued speaking about the development proposals associated with the project. He emphasized two concerns expressed by the residents in this area (1) having access to Seldendale Drive to the traffic light on Mercury Boulevard enabling people to make right and left hand turns and (2) safety in the park area. Some senior residents are uneasy about participating in park activities because they believe unsafe activities such as drug activities may be taking place in the park. The organization also expressed the desire for the Master Plan to include an extension of Rowe Street which could potentially have houses facing the park to keep an eye on park activity.

Mr. McCormick spoke about additional development proposals including new infill housing, housing rehabilitation, and a senior independent living village. Mr. McCormick reviewed the next steps in the process and then opened the floor for questions and/or comments from members of Council.

Councilman Moffett commended staff for working with the community on this project. For the record, he expressed concern about a few inaccuracies and choices of language in the report. He emphasized that he has some challenges with the Strength Weaknesses Opportunities and Threats (SWOT) analysis because it indicates that the Y. H. Thomas Community Center is vibrant and a strength in the community; however, simultaneously reports that a weakness is the lack of community and parental engagement with its youth. He stressed that there is good community participation at the Y.H. Thomas Community Center. He expressed concern that people reading our records (minutes) in the future may accept this as fact; however, the information is slightly flawed and not supported by the data. He also expressed concern about the language which referenced the park as being inactive when the City has invested significant funds in the park which is used by walkers, joggers and others on a daily basis. He continued stating that he has

personally invested ten years of work into the center as the Volunteer Executive Director and knows about the efforts of the community and what factually takes place there. He noted that approximately 90% of the report is accurate; however, there are parts which are slightly flawed and it is vital that the record reflects this.

Ms. Blackwell noted that each member of the leadership team turned in notes about what they considered strengths and weaknesses. The report reflects their verbatim comments.

For the record, Councilman Moffett clarified that he was addressing the language of the report which had some inaccuracies; however, his comment was not intended to be offensive.

Additional discussion took place between staff and Council. Topics discussed included: US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds and market rates, the proposal for the senior independent living village, and expectations regarding access to Seldendale Drive (private property).

Presented by Jonathan McBride, Housing & Neighborhood Services Division Manager, and Dan McCormick, Housing Reinvestment Specialist.

Following item #3, Mayor Tuck allowed a brief recess from 2:33 p.m. until 3:05 p.m.

4. <u>17-0238</u> Discussion of Global Covenant of Mayors

Attachments: Global Covenant of Mayors

Compact of Mayors Full Guide

Why You Should Join

Presentation

City Manager Bunting gave opening remarks.

Community Development Department Director Mr. Terry O'Neil introduced the item and turned the floor over to City Planner Lucy Stoll to make the presentation.

Ms. Stoll explained that the Global Covenant of Mayors is a group of mayors from across thousands of localities committing to fighting climate change and reducing energy consumption. Localities commit to measuring greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and creating an action plan to reduce them.

Ms. Stoll provided examples of localities that have begun the process including some localities in Virginia. She then shared the four steps involved in participating in the program. They include: registering intent, taking inventory, setting a GHG emission reduction target, and establishing an action plan.

In response to Vice Mayor Curtis, Mr. O'Neill clarified that the program requires localities to complete all four tasks three years after registering for the program.

Ms. Stoll summarized the pros and cons of participating in the program.

Ms. Stoll stated the Dutch Dialogues Initiative is a notable example of how Hampton is already working on this concern. Hampton is currently doing extensive work with regards to sea level rise which is a hazard to which Hampton could potentially be vulnerable.

Mr. O'Neill provided closing remarks. He said there are many benefits of participating in the program, one of which is that it involves little risk. He emphasized that if Council determines to proceed, it is important that we do a good job. He expressed concern about the department's plate being full; therefore, a commitment would need to be made to either reprioritize tasks or obtain additional resources. He also emphasized that this is not a marketing "thing"; instead, it requires time and energy to commit to the program in order to obtain the benefits of the program.

Discussion took place among the members of Council, the City Manager and staff about this item. Topics of discussion included: organizing a committee to review the inventory and the inability to fill the position with someone with the proper expertise to oversee this area.

In response to Vice Mayor Curtis, Ms. Stoll clarified that the portion of the presentation "access to tools for measuring and planning, technical and direct support" refers to the ability to directly contact a member or founder of the group to answer questions about the process; however, it does not refer to financial support.

In response to Councilman Moffett, Mr. O'Neil stated Hampton rates approximately 6 or 7 on a scale of 1-10 with regards to handling these types of projects independently. He added that, in his opinion, it would help to have these types of efforts.

Ms. Stoll added that currently, we focus on sea level rise and moving toward engineered solutions and are not as focused on the effect of greenhouse gas emissions. Our participation in this process will allow us to address this issue from a

different perspective without taking away from our first goal of reducing flooding and protecting homeowners.

Ms. Bunting added that staff supports this because it is the environmentally responsible thing to do. This process will provide a tighter framework for the work.

Mayor Tuck requested that staff keep the City Manager informed of all updates with regards to this project and then opened the floor for additional discussion. No further discussion took place.

REGIONAL ISSUES

There were no regional issues to be discussed.

NEW BUSINESS

There were no items of new business.

CLOSED SESSION

5. <u>17-0235</u>

Closed session pursuant to Virginia Code Sections 2.2-3711.A.1, .3 and .8 to discuss City Council appointees, appointments to the Citizens Engagement Advisory Review Commission (CEARC) and an appointment to the Virginia Alcohol Safety Action Program (VASAP); to discuss or consider the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or the disposition of publicly held real property in the areas of the Magruder corridor, Kecoughtan Road corridor, Shell Road, North King Street, and Downtown Hampton where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the city; and to consult with legal counsel employed or retained by the city regarding specific legal matters pertaining to pending litigation and zoning matters which require the provision of legal advice by such counsel.

At 3:02 p.m., a motion was made by Vice Mayor Curtis and seconded by Councilmember Snead, that this Closed Session - Motion be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Vice Mayor Curtis, Councilmember Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Councilmember Moffett, Councilmember Schmidt, Councilmember Snead and Mayor Tuck

6. <u>17-0131</u> Consideration of an appointment to the Virginia Alcohol Safety Action Program (VASAP) Advisory Board

CERTIFICATION

7. <u>17-0237</u> Resolution Certifying Closed Session

At 5:44 p.m., a motion was made that this Closed Session - Certification be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Vice Mayor Curtis, Councilmember Gray, Councilmember Hobbs, Councilmember Moffett, Councilmember Schmidt, Councilmember Snead and Mayor Tuck

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 5:44 p.m.

Contact Info: Clerk of Council, 757-727-6315, council@hampton.gov

Donnie R. Tuck
Mayor
Katherine K. Glass, CMC
Clerk of Council
Date approved by Council _