## Project F0-S33: Grant Application - City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure Routing in Progress: Create a Grant Application (Step 1 of 5)



**Grant Application Summary** 

The Grant Application defines all project details including Scope+@f+Mork, all costs, worksheets, and other required data and documents.

Title:

City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure

**Eligible Amount:** 

\$547,000.00

**Funding** 

Federal - \$547,000.00

Sources:

State - \$0.00

Local - \$0.00

Edit

**FEMA** 

Federal Number - < no value >

**Obligation Data:** 

Date of Obligation Letter - < no value >

CATEX Comments - < no value > Project POP Date - < no value >

Related Links:

View Grant Pre-Application, View Project

**Workflow Summary** 

Current Step:

1) Create a Grant Application

Description: Create a Grant Application

Recipients:

VDEM Hazard Mitigation Coordinator (State), VDEM Hazard

Mitigation Program Manager (State)

Submission:

Oct 25, 2018 at 12:41 PM by Debbie Messmer

Mitigation Grant

**FMA 2018 Flood Mitigation** 

**Assistance** 

Flood Mitigation Assistance Declared: February 15, 2018

Closed: November 2, 2018

Applicant

Hampton, City of

Name (Legal): City of Hampton Independent City of Hampton

City/County

FIPS #: 650-35000-00 FEIN #: 54-6001336

Vendor #: Type: City

Physical/Mailing: 1300 Thomas Street

Hampton, VA, 23669

**Project** 

F # S #33

City of Hampton-Elevation of

Residential Structure

E Elevation

Project POP Deadline:

Eligible: \$0.00

Federal: \$0.00 (100%)

Un-Expended Eligible: \$547,000.00

#### Introduction

#### **Summary Information**

Grant:

FMA 2018 Flood Mitigation Assistance

Project Type:

Elevation

Title:

City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure

Used to help identify the project. Ex: "St Thomas Bridge Reinforcement".

Primary Contact:

Hui-Shan Walker - Emergency Management Coordinator

Edit

Email Address: hwalker@hampton.gov

Phone: 757-727-6067

Alternate Contact:

Gwen Pointer - Deputy Coordinator

Edit

Email Address: gwen.pointer@hampton.gov

Phone: 757-727-6881

**Authorized Contact:** 

Mary Bunting - City Manager

Edit

Email Address: mbunting@hampton.gov

Phone: 757-727-6329

#### **Requested Applicant Updates**

Fill out the following fields if the following values are incorrect. - (View Applicant)

State Tax Number:

30-546001336F-001

Current State Tax Number value:

Federal Tax Number:

54-6001336

Current Federal Tax Number value:

DUNS:

66019902

Current DUNS value:

Congressional District:

VA 1, 2, 3

Current Congressional District value:

State Legislative District:

House 91, 92, 95; Senate 1, 2, 3

Current State Legislative District value:

#### **Planning Requirement**

te of Plan Approval:	Feb 22, 2017
le of Applicant/Community cal Hazard Mitigation Plan:	Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan
igation Plan reference:	Section 7, Pages 7:2 & 7:5
	Identify the section and page in the FEMA approved Local Hazard Mitigation Plan where the project is included (For example: Section 2, Page 12).
n Narrative:	The elevation of this property is consistent with the FEMA-approved Local Hazard Mitigation Plan which includes structural mitigation measures such as building elevation with a focus on repetitive flood loss property protection.
	Excerpts:
	HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN, SECTION 7: MITIGATION STRATEGY (Page 7:2) Goal 1: Increase community resiliency by reducing vulnerability to hazards.  Objective 1.1: Reduce damage to repetitively flooded properties
	<ul> <li>(Page 7:5) Prevention Strategy #2:Property Protection-</li> <li>Property protection measures involve the modification of existing buildings and structures or the removal of the structures from hazardous locations. Examples include:</li> <li>Acquisition</li> <li>Relocation</li> </ul>
	Building elevation     Critical facilities protection
	<ul> <li>Retrofitting (i.e., windproofing, floodproofing, seismic design)</li> <li>Safe rooms, shutters, shatter-resistant glass</li> <li>Insurance</li> </ul>
	(*MITIGATION PLAN accessible at https://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/)
	Describe how project is consistent with the risk assessment, goals and actions in plan. Please include copy of page where project is included
he community a member good standing with the cional Flood Insurance gram (NFIP)?	Yes
te Established:	Mar 24, 1970
IP Number:	515527

s the community a member

of the Community Rating

System (CRS)?

Yes

Date Established: May 1, 2011

## **History of Hazards**

#### **Past Damages**

n this section, describe all past damages from hazardous events (include name of storms if applicable) in the project area. Include Presidentially declared disasters as well as events that did not result in a Presidential declaration.

- For assistance, reference the NOAA's National Climatic Data Center here: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/
- Do not list country-wide or community-wide damages.
- · Damages described must be site specific.
- · Include information for as many past incidents as possible.
- Attach any supporting documents, i.e. proofs of loss, PWs, force account logs.
- · Direct costs should include damages to structures and infrastructure in the project area as a result of the hazard.
- Indirect costs should include the cost to the local government to respond to victims of the hazard in the project area, any interruption to local businesses, and losses of public services.
- For Acquisitions and Elevations, provide an overview in this section and specific damages to each property in the Individual Property Worksheets.

Jse the below table to describe past events (by date) that resulted in damage; describe damages, including direct and indirect damages and costs.

Date	Duration (days)	Loss	Description	
Aug 23, 1933		\$	Unnamed Hurricane	and a Standard Com-
Sep 18, 1936		\$	Unnamed Hurricane	100 to 10
Sep 14, 1944		\$	Unnamed Hurricane	to a final policy of the control of
Oct 15, 1954		\$	Hurricane Hazel	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Mar 6, 1962		\$	Ash Wednesday Storm	to the wide of the state of the
Jun 21, 1972		\$	Hurricane Agnes	Thursday of the control of the contr
Sep 1, 1972		\$	Storm Flooding	777
Sep 4, 1999	2	\$	Hurricane Dennis	ercennyang salahagang
Sep 16, 1999		\$	Hurricane Floyd	. Opposite modern and a second
Sep 18, 2003		\$	Hurricane Isabel	The Company of the Control of the Co
Aug 29, 2004		\$	Tropical Storm Gaston	
Sep 1, 2006		\$	Tropical Depression Ernesto	A Philipson was now span
Sep 11, 2009		\$	Tropical Depression Ida & Nor'easter	
Sep 30, 2010		\$	Storm Event	· ·
Aug 27, 2011		\$	Hurricane Irene	the excest the title to consider
Aug 25, 2012	4	\$	Extreme Rain Events & Flash Flooding	este estimation este este este este este este este est
Oct 29, 2012		\$	Tropical Storm Sandy	ASSESSMENT AND STATE OF THE STA
Sep 8, 2014	2	\$	Extreme Rainfall & Flooding	-
Oct 2, 2015		\$	Hurricane Joaquin	o de la composition della comp
Sep 2, 2016		\$	Tropical Storm Hermine	Commence Commence of the Comme
Oct 8, 2016		\$	Tropical Storm Matthew	No. of Contract of
Oct 12, 2018	The state of the s	\$	Hurricane Michael	ASSERBET MARKET SPECIA

#### **Additional Comments:**

Although documented figures are not available for many of the events, the City sustained PA costs of:

\$20,330,659.41 for DR-1491- Hurricane Isabel \$631,910.52 for DR-1862- 2009 Nor'easter \$1,231,189.10 for DR4024-Hurricane Irene

Provide any additional details regarding past events.

Page 6 o: opulation Affected: Count Residential Properties **Businesses / Commercial Properties Public Properties** School / Hospitals / House of Worship 0 Provide the number of each type of structure listed above in the project area. Include all structures in the project area. Hazards to Mitigate: Flood List the type of hazards the proposed project will mitigate (i.e. Wind, Seismic, Flood, Fire). \_evel of Protection: One (1) Residential Structure protected against 100-year (1%) flood plus three foot of freeboard Fill in the level of protection the proposed project will provide. List data in Flood Levels (10, 25, 50, 100... mph winds) or Mercalli Scale Earthquake (1-12). For example: 23 Structures protected against the 100-year (1%) flood.

Jseful Life of the Project:

30 years

Number of years proposed project will provide protection against the hazard(s) above.

## Scope of Work

Project Type:

Structural Mitigation

Description of the Problem:

The City was approached by the homeowners who are seeking relief from severe repetitive flooding issues. Between flood claims information and homeowner records, there are 12 separate flooding events that have caused damage to the submitted property. The City must pursue any available alternative to protect the life safety and reduce the property risk for these residents.

If no action is taken, this residence will continue to suffer flood damages resulting in continued, and likely heightened, claims filed against the National Flood Insurance Program. Additionally, the health and safety of the property residents will continue to be at risk and the demand on City of Hampton public safety resources during flooding events will continue to be burdened.

Describe the specific problem the proposed project is intended to alleviate.

Description of the ProposedProject:

The proposed project will elevate a severe repetitive loss property located at 205 Harbor Drive in the City of Hampton, Virginia. The lowest finished floor will be elevated to a design flood elevation that will not only provide protection to the 1% annual flood level but also an additional three (3) foot freeboard requirement.

The FEMA BCA Tool projects a benefit of \$810,924 over the thirty year life of the project. This estimate is supported by actual flood claims for the property which have exceeded \$190,228.53 to date. The hazards addressed by the project include flooding from excessive rain and tidal events as well as tropical storms/hurricanes which threaten this coastal community.

Describe, in detail, the proposed project. Also, explain how the proposed project will solve the problem(s) and provide the level(s) of protection described above. If any other projects are underway or proposed in the project area, please describe. Also describe any planned, future development in the project area. Please include building code requirements for new development and substantial improvements in the community.

Who will the mitigation activity penefit and/or impact? What are the long-term changes to the areas and entities it protects?

The project will not only reduce claims to the National Flood Insurance Program, but will also protect the health and safety of the residents while reducing demand on City public safety resources during disasters.

Please provide the percent of the population benefiting from this mitigation activity:

0 %

Please explain your response to the above question:

The project will directly impact one home.

Describe how the project is echnically feasible and will be effective in reducing the isk by reducing or eliminating damages to property and/or oss of life in the project area. Please include engineering design parameters and references to the following: oreliminary schematic or engineering drawings/design; applicable building codes, engineering practices and/or pest practices; level of protection (e.g., life safety, 100-yr floor protection with reeboard, 100-yr wind design, etc.)

Nho will manage and complete the mitigation activity?

Will the project address the nazards identified and what isks will remain from all nazards after project mplementation (residual isk)?

The City of Hampton has successfully elevated 9 homes to date. Engineering design drawings for this home were secured for DR-1862 Grant but will need to be modified to incorporate deep foundation design (helical piles). This project will protect the structure to the 100 year flood elevation plus a three (3) foot freeboard margin.

The City of Hampton Office of Emergency Management will oversee the project and will coordinate as needed with Community Development, City Attorney's Office, GIS, City Manager's Office and Public Works.

The project will significantly reduce repetitive flood loss to the home. The lowest finished floor will be elevated to a design flood elevation that will not only provide protection to the 1% annual flood level but also an additional three (3) foot freeboard requirement.

# **Grant Application Worksheets**

1 requite

20,000,000		te actività i contrata della trata trata di tra	Annantantantan arawasan an arawa anta an	Augustania en
#.	* * * <b>* *</b>	Amount	Grant Application Worksheet Type	Status
1	205 Harbor Drive	\$547,000.00	Elevation	Included

## Costs

## **Worksheet Cost Type Summary**

Cost Type	Quantity Sum	Unit Cost Avg.	Total Cost Sum
Construction Management Costs	1.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
Elevation/Lifting	1.00	\$130,736.48	\$130,736.48
Engineering Designs	1.00	\$24,500.00	\$24,500.00
Foundation	1.00	\$309,878.90	\$309,878.90
Other	1.00	\$42,884.62	\$42,884.62
Project Management Costs	1.00	\$14,000.00	\$14,000.00

#### **Cost Line Items**

Please specify any project costs that aren't worksheet or site-specific (since those costs will be specified in the individual worksheets). In the Description field please type in a brief (1-3 words) classification of the line item (example: Project Management).

Phased Project:

No

If this project is to be done in 2 phases - A and B.

Match Type	Description	Qty	Unit	Price Pre-Award	Total
THE COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF	ation Worksheet Total	на под		никована антинитивностительников возможения выпоставления выпоставления выпоставления в поставления в поставления в	\$547,000.00
• •					•
Grant Applica	ition Total				\$0.00
Grand Total					\$547,000.00
***************************************					-

Strategic Funds Management Initiative				
Does this project qualify for SFM?	No			

## **Funding Sources**

(Include In-Kind Value):

Wethod:	By Percent O By Amount	Funding Source Other Agency
Estimated FEMA Share:	100 % \$547,000.00	
Estimated State Share:	% \$0.00	
Non-Federal Share - Estimated Local Share	% \$0.00	

Fotal Allocated:	100 % \$547,000.00	
Cost Effectiveness:	Provide a detailed description of the cost effectiveness indicating that there is a reasonable expectation that future dan prevented.	nage or loss of life will be reduced
Benefit Cost Ratio		
s Used:	Benefit/Cost Analysis IS applicable to this project	
Total Project Benefit:	\$ 547,000.00	
	The total of savings realized by this project.	

# **Timeline**

## **Project Milestones**

Total # of weeks for entire	90
project:	<u> </u>

\_ist the major milestones in this project.

Example 1: Demolition of 6 structures and removal of debris

Example 2: Design, Engineering and H & H Studies

Task Description	nerinating and a company of the comp	Days	- Design for African Above colored
Bid Process, Award, Contract Negotiation and Ratification		150	
Development of Project Schedule and Plan		60	
Execution of Agreements with Homeowners and Contractors-Notice to Vacate		90	
Construction (Elevation and Foundation Work)		90	
CO, Walk-throughs, Complete Punch List		30	
Grant Close Out		30	
Total Days		450	orakisariotha trogetora feesia (i.o. sana gong

#### **Alternatives**

\_ist two feasible alternative projects to mitigate the hazards faced in the project area. One alternative is the "No Action Alternative" (section A). This application cannot be reviewed if this section is incomplete.

#### A. No Action Alternative

mpacts with No Action

If no action is taken, this residence will continue to suffer flood damages resulting in continued, and likely heightened, claims filed against the National Flood Insurance Program. Additionally, the health and safety of the property residents will continue to be at risk and the demand on the City of Hampton public safety resources during flooding events will continue to be burdened.

Discuss the impacts on the project area if no action is taken.

#### B. Other Feasible Alternative

Discuss a feasible alternative to the proposed project. This could be an entirely different mitigation method or a significant modification to the design of the current proposed project. Please include scope of work, engineering details (if applicable), estimated budget and the impacts of his alternative.

Other Feasible Project
Description and Scope of
Work:

Acquisition: A reasonable alternative to the proposed project would be to acquire the property, demolish and remove the structure, and convert the parcel to green space. This alternative would provide 100% protection from flooding, ensure that residents were not at risk, and eliminate the need for commitment of City public safety resources to the property during flooding events. However, the City does not have the means to maintain disconnected lots across the city and the cohesiveness of this neighborhood would be negatively impacted. Several homes in this neighborhood have previously been elevated, including the home next door that is in the process of being elevated in another of the City's grant awards.

Describe, in detail, the alternative project. Also, explain how the alternative project will solve the problem(s) / provide protection from the hazard(s).

Other Feasible Project \_ocation: Attach a map or diagram showing the alternative site in relation to the proposed project site and photographs of alternative site.

#### **Funding Sources**

Round figures to the nearest dollar. The maximum FEMA share for HMGP projects is 75%. The other 25% can be made up of State and Local funds as well as in-kind services. HMGP funds may be packaged with other Federal funds, but those funds cannot be used as match. Federal funds which lose their Federal identity at the State level (such as CDBG, ARS, HOME,) may be used for the State or Local match.

	An	ount	% of Total		Funding Source / Other Agency
Estimated FEMA Share	\$	547,000.00	100	%	
Estimated State Share	\$		-	%	
Non-Federal Share - Estimated Local Share (Include In-Kind Value)	\$			%	
Other Non-FEMA Federal Funds (Do Not Include In Total)	\$			%	
Jpload non-Federal share commitment letter(s).	****		***************************************		

mpacts of Other Feasible Alternative Project:

The location of the residence and the fact that the adjacent residences would remain in place would minimize benefit to wetland renewal. The project would not be expected to have any impact on environmental justice, endangered species, or hazardous materials issues and would not be anticipated to have measurable impact on hydrology or the floodplain. The residence is not in or proximate to the City's floodway. The proposed residence is not identified as historically relevant.

Include comments on these issues: Environmental Justice; Endangered Species; Wetlands; Hydrology (Upstream and Downstream Impacts); Floodplain/Floodway; Historic Issues; Hazardous Materials.

Reason for Rejecting Other reasible Alternative:

The City was approached by the homeowners who are seeking relief from severe repetitive flooding issues. Between flood claims and homeowner records, there are twelve (12) separate flooding events that have caused damage to the submitted property. The City must pursue any available alternative to protect the life safety and reduce the property risk for these residents.

The City of Hampton is not currently considering acquisition projects outside of the City's mapped floodway. In addition to loss of tax revenue, the City is not in a position to maintain geographically diverse properties and must take into account neighborhood aesthetics and whether acquisition of individual properties will provide substantial benefit to management of the floodplain and floodway. Also, in this instance, the homeowners have indicated a desire to retain their home and elevate in place.

Yes

Yes

## **Acts & Executive Orders**

1. Does your project involve disturbance of ground?

# National Historic Preservation Act - Historic Buildings and Structures

1. Does your project affect or is it in close proximity to any buildings or structures 50 years or

more in age?	
Please confirm that	you have provided the following:
☑ The property add Properties section).	dress and original date of construction for each property affected (unless this information is already noted in the
☑ A minimum of tw	o color photographs showing at least three sides of each structure (Please label the photos accordingly).
☑ A diagram or US	GS 1:24,000 scale quadrangle map displaying the relationship of the property(s) to the project area.
2. To help FEMA evalu	ate the impact of the project, please indicate below any other information
you are providing:	
structure and prese near a National Reg (SHPO/THPO), you Consideration of alternatives consider	ered about potential historic properties in the project area, including any evidence indicating the age of the building or note of buildings or structures that are listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or within or gister listed or eligible historic district. Sources for this information may include the State Historic Preservation Officer ir local planning office, historic preservation organization, or historical society.  Thow the project design will minimize adverse effects on known or potential historic buildings or structures, and any ered or implemented to avoid or minimize effects on historic buildings or structures. Please address and note your project budget.
-	emolition projects affecting historic buildings or structures, any data regarding the consideration and feasibility of n, or floodproofing as alternatives to demolition.
☑ Attached materia	als or additional comments.
Comments:	This home is located in an area of historical significance (Greater Wythe); however, this property has already been evaluated by FEMA and deemed to not be in keeping architecturally with the colonial nature of the historic area.
	Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.
National Historic Pi	reservation Act - Archaeological Resources

Please confirm that you ha	ave provided the following:	
☐ A description of the gro	ound disturbance by giving the dimensions (area, volume, depth, etc) and location.	
☐The past use of the are	ea to be disturbed, noting the extent of previously disturbed ground.	
☐A USGS 1:24,000 scale	e or other site map showing the location and extent of ground disturbance.	
include SHPO/THPO, and	potential historic properties, including archaeological sites, in the project area. Sources of this information for the Tribe's cultural resources contact if no THPO is designated. Include, if possible, a map showing the istoric properties to the project area.	-
2		
Comments:	This information will be provided as part of the design drawings which will comply with ASCE/SEI 24-05, land disturbance permitting process, and mitigation plan as part of the bid process post-grant award.	
	Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.	
	t and Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act ened or endangered species or their critical habitat present in the	No
2. Does your project remove	or affect vegetation?	Yes
Please confirm that you ha	ave provided the following:	
☐ Description of the amou	unt (area) and type of vegetation to be removed or affected.	
☐A site map showing the	e project area and the extent of vegetation affected.	
☐Photographs or digital i	images that show both the vegetation affected and the vegetation in context of its surroundings.	
☑ Attached materials or a	additional comments.	

Comments:

This information will be provided as part of the design drawings which will comply with ASCE/SEI 24-05, land disturbance permitting process, and mitigation plan as part of the bid process postgrant award.

Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.

3. Is your project in, nowater?	ear (within 200 feet), or likely to affect any type of waterway or body of	Yes
Please confirm tha	t you have provided the following:	
☐A USGS 1:24,00	00 scale quadrangle map showing the project activities in relation to all nearby water bodies (within 200 feet).	
	about the type of water body nearby including: its dimensions, the proximity of the project activity to the water board possible changes to the water body, if any. Identify all water bodies regardless whether you think there may be	•
eneci.		
☐ A photograph or	r digital image of the site showing both the body of water and the project area.	
•	discussions with the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and/or your State Wildlife Agency concerning any there is the potential for the project to affect any water body.	
☑ Attached materi	als or additional comments.	
Comments:	This information will be provided as part of the design drawings which will comply with ASCE/SEI 24-05, land disturbance permitting process, and mitigation plan as part of the bid process postgrant award.	
	Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.	
Clean Water Act, R	Rivers and Harbors Act, and Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands)	
	e dredging or disposal of dredged material, excavation, adding fill material or ion to water bodies or wetlands designated as "waters of the U.S" as	No
•	rmy Corps of Engineers or on the National Wetland Inventory?	
warning to the contract of the		
Executive Order 11	1988 (Floodplain Management)	

1. Does a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM), hydrologic study, or some other source indicate that the project is located in or will affect a 100 year loodplain, a 500 year floodplain if a critical facility, an identified regulatory floodway, or an area

prone to flooding?

Yes

Please indicate in the text box below any documentation to identify the means or the alternatives considered to eliminate or minimize impacts of floodplains (See the 8 step process found in 44 CFR Part 9.6.) to help FEMA evaluate the impact of the project				
The residence is located in the 100 year floodplain; however, the project will not have an adverse impact on the floodplain.				
2. Does the project alter a watercourse, water flow patterns, or a drainage way, regardless of its loodplain designation?	No No			
Farmland Protection Policy Act	-			
1. Will the project convert more than 5 acres of "prime or unique" farmland outside city limits to a non-agricultural use?	No No			
RCRA and CERCLA (Hazardous and Toxic Materials)				
Is there a reason to suspect there are contaminants from a current or past use on the property     associated with the proposed project?	No.			
2. Are there any studies, investigations, or enforcement actions related to any of the properties associated with the proposed project?	No			
3. Do any project construction or operation activities involve the use of hazardous or toxic materials?	No			
4. Do you know if any of the current or past land uses of the property affected by the proposed project or of the adjacent properties are associated with hazardous or toxic materials?	No			
Executive Order 12898, Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations				
1. Are there low income or minority populations in the project's area of effect or adjacent to the project area?	Yes			
Please provide the following:  □ Description of any disproportionate and adverse effects to these populations.				

		İ		1 agc 1
	ulation affected and the portion of the popul address the adverse impacts in your propos		nately and adversely affected	d. Please
☑ Attached materials or a	additional comments.			
Comments:	While minority citizens reside in and a low income or minority populations.	round the project area, there wi	ll be no adverse impact to	
	Please indicate any information about this project that cou	ld assist FEMA in its review.		No.
Other Environmental/His	storic Preservation Laws or Issues			
. Are there other environme hat you are aware of?	ntal/historic preservation requirements asso	ociated with this project		No
. Are there controversial iss	ues associated with this project?			No
B. Have you conducted any poroposed mitigation project?	public meeting or solicited public input or co	mments on your specific		No
Summary and Cost of Po	otential Impacts			
•	stions above, have you identified any aspec Il to impact environmental resources or hist	• •		No

# **Evaluation Criteria**

## **Evaluation Criteria**

Does your community	Yes				
participate in the Community	CRS Number:				
Rating System (CRS)?	515527				
s your community a	Yes				
Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP)?					
s your community a Firewise	No				
Community?					
Has your community adopted coulding codes consistent with	Yes				
he International Building Codes?					
las your community adopted	No No				
the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 5000 Code?					
Have the community's	Yes				
building codes been	BCEGS Rating:				
assessed on the Building Code Effectiveness Grading	3				
Schedule (BCEGS)?					
s your entity delinquent on any federal debt?	No				
Does your community meet	No				
the definition of a small and mpoverished community?					
s the project located in the	Yes				
State's designated coastal zone?					
Provide a detailed description	205 Harbor Drive, Hampton, Virginia 23661				
of the proposed project ocation:	For example: municipality, street, address, major intersecting streets and other important landmarks.				

Please upload all supporting documentation, such as maps hat clearly identify the ocation and critical features to the project such as copography, waterways, adjacent community boundaries, etc.

How will this mitigation activity leverage involvement of partners to enhance its outcome?

The Hampton Office of Emergency Management will oversee the project with collaboration from City Manager's Office, City Attorney's Office, Community Development, GIS, and Public Works.

-low will this mitigation activity offer long-term inancial and social benefits or promote resiliency for the community?

**Acquisition Projects** 

In accordance with the goals of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan, the City of Hampton seeks to mitigate repetitive loss/severe repetitive loss residential properties. Hampton has multiple home elevation projects which are completed, in progress, or pending and desires to elevate this severe repetitive loss property at the request of the homeowners. This project will increase the safety of the residents while allowing them to remain in their home and reduce the burden on public safety.

#### **Required Documentation Checklist**

Vlatch letter from community	No
<sup>o</sup> roject Location Map	Yes
3enefit/Cost Supporting Occumentation	Yes
JS Army Corps of Engineers Letter for Acquisition Projects	No
Virginia Department of Transportation Letter for	No

# Agreements

# A. Maintenance Agreement

Substitution Properties:

All applicants whose proposed pronuments of the public ownership or manage submitting their application to FEI	ement of property			-		-	
NOTE: those applicants whose private after project co	oject only involve			on to private	property wh	ere the ow	nership
Download Agreement Template							to
B. Applicant Certifications							
Each applicant whose proposed pauch structures must sign and atta		or more resider	ntial structures	or relocation (	or acquisition	n and demo	olition of
Download Agreement Template							
C. Substitution Properties							

Enter addresses for properties that can be substituted if there are additional funds available.



**^** 

**Grant Application Summary** 

The Grant Application defines all project details including Scope-of-Work, all costs, worksheets, and other required data and documents.

Title:

City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure

Eligible Amount:

\$547,000.00

**Funding** 

Federal - \$547,000.00

Sources:

State - \$0.00

Local - \$0.00

Edit

**FEMA** 

Federal Number - < no value >

**Obligation Data:** 

Date of Obligation Letter - < no value >

CATEX Comments - < no value > Project POP Date - < no value >

Related Links:

View Grant Pre-Application, View Project

**Workflow Summary** 

Current Step:

1) Create a Grant Application

**Description:** Create a Grant Application

Recipients:

VDEM Hazard Mitigation Coordinator (State), VDEM Hazard

Mitigation Program Manager (State)

Submission:

Oct 25, 2018 at 12:41 PM by Debbie Messmer

Mitigation Grant

FMA 2018 Flood Mitigation

**Assistance** 

Flood Mitigation Assistance
Declared: February 15, 2018

Closed: November 2, 2018

Applicant

Hampton, City of

Name (Legal): City of Hampton Independent City of Hampton

City/County

FIPS #: 650-35000-00 FEIN #: 54-6001336

Vendor #: Type: City

Physical/Mailing: 1300 Thomas Street

Hampton, VA, 23669

**Project** 

F#S#33

City of Hampton-Elevation of

Residential Structure

E Elevation

Project POP Deadline:

Eligible: \$0.00

Federal: \$0.00 (100%)

Un-Expended Eligible: \$547,000.00

#### Introduction

#### **Summary Information**

Grant:

FMA 2018 Flood Mitigation Assistance

<sup>⊃</sup>roject Type:

Elevation

Title:

City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure

Used to help identify the project. Ex: "St Thomas Bridge Reinforcement".

Primary Contact:

Hui-Shan Walker - Emergency Management Coordinator

Edit

Email Address: hwalker@hampton.gov

Phone: 757-727-6067

Alternate Contact:

Gwen Pointer - Deputy Coordinator

Edit

Email Address: gwen.pointer@hampton.gov

Phone: 757-727-6881

**Authorized Contact:** 

Mary Bunting - City Manager

Edit

Email Address: mbunting@hampton.gov

Phone: 757-727-6329

#### **Requested Applicant Updates**

Fill out the following fields if the following values are incorrect. - (View Applicant)

State Tax Number:

30-546001336F-001

Current State Tax Number value:

Federal Tax Number:

54-6001336

Current Federal Tax Number value:

DUNS:

66019902

Current DUNS value:

Congressional District:

VA 1, 2, 3

Current Congressional District value:

State Legislative District:

House 91, 92, 95; Senate 1, 2, 3

Current State Legislative District value:

## **Planning Requirement**

For all disasters declared after November 1, 2004, a community must have a FEMA approved Local Hazard Mitigation Plan in order to be sligible for HMGP.

Date of Plan Approval:

Feb 22, 2017

Fitle of Applicant/Community Local Hazard Mitigation Plan:

Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan

Vitigation Plan reference:

Section 7, Pages 7:2 & 7:5

Identify the section and page in the FEMA approved Local Hazard Mitigation Plan where the project is included (For example: Section 2, Page 12).

Plan Narrative:

The elevation of this property is consistent with the FEMA-approved Local Hazard Mitigation Plan which includes structural mitigation measures such as building elevation with a focus on repetitive flood loss property protection.

Excerpts:

HAMPTON ROADS HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN, SECTION 7: MITIGATION STRATEGY (Page 7:2) Goal 1: Increase community resiliency by reducing vulnerability to hazards.

Objective 1.1: Reduce damage to repetitively flooded properties

(Page 7:5) Prevention Strategy #2:Property Protection-

Property protection measures involve the modification of existing buildings and structures or the removal of the structures from hazardous locations. Examples include:

- Acquisition
- Relocation
- Building elevation
- · Critical facilities protection
- · Retrofitting (i.e., windproofing, floodproofing, seismic design)
- · Safe rooms, shutters, shatter-resistant glass
- Insurance

(\*MITIGATION PLAN accessible at https://www.hrpdcva.gov/departments/emergency-management/hampton-roads-hazard-mitigation-plan/)

Describe how project is consistent with the risk assessment, goals and actions in plan. Please include copy of page where project is included

s the community a member of good standing with the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)?

Date Established:

Mar 24, 1970

**VFIP Number:** 

515527

Property Located in SFHA?

Yes

Yes

s the community a member of the Community Rating System (CRS)?

Yes

Date Established: May 1, 2011

# **History of Hazards**

#### **Past Damages**

n this section, describe all past damages from hazardous events (include name of storms if applicable) in the project area. Include Presidentially declared disasters as well as events that did not result in a Presidential declaration.

- For assistance, reference the NOAA's National Climatic Data Center here: http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/stormevents/
- Do not list country-wide or community-wide damages.
- · Damages described must be site specific.
- · Include information for as many past incidents as possible.
- · Attach any supporting documents, i.e. proofs of loss, PWs, force account logs.
- · Direct costs should include damages to structures and infrastructure in the project area as a result of the hazard.
- Indirect costs should include the cost to the local government to respond to victims of the hazard in the project area, any interruption to local businesses, and losses of public services.
- For Acquisitions and Elevations, provide an overview in this section and specific damages to each property in the Individual Property Worksheets.

Jse the below table to describe past events (by date) that resulted in damage; describe damages, including direct and indirect damages and costs.

Date	Duration (days)	Loss	Description
Aug 23, 1933	\$	6	Unnamed Hurricane
Sep 18, 1936	\$	3	Unnamed Hurricane
Sep 14, 1944	\$	3	Unnamed Hurricane
Oct 15, 1954	\$	3	Hurricane Hazel
Mar 6, 1962	\$	<b>)</b>	Ash Wednesday Storm
Jun 21, 1972	\$	3	Hurricane Agnes
Sep 1, 1972	\$	3	Storm Flooding
Sep 4, 1999	. 2 \$	3	Hurricane Dennis
Sep 16, 1999	\$	73	Hurricane Floyd
Sep 18, 2003	\$	3	Hurricane Isabel
Aug 29, 2004	\$		Tropical Storm Gaston
Sep 1, 2006	\$	3	Tropical Depression Ernesto
Sep 11, 2009	\$	3	Tropical Depression Ida & Nor'easter
Sep 30, 2010	\$	3	Storm Event
Aug 27, 2011	\$	3	Hurricane Irene
Aug 25, 2012	4 \$	<b>3</b>	Extreme Rain Events & Flash Flooding
Oct 29, 2012	<b>\$</b>	3	Tropical Storm Sandy
Sep 8, 2014	2 \$	3	Extreme Rainfall & Flooding
Oct 2, 2015	\$		Hurricane Joaquin
Sep 2, 2016	\$		Tropical Storm Hermine
Oct 8, 2016	<b>]</b>	3	Tropical Storm Matthew
Oct 12, 2018	\$		Hurricane Michael

#### **Additional Comments:**

Although documented figures are not available for many of the events, the City sustained PA costs of:

\$20,330,659.41 for DR-1491- Hurricane Isabel \$631,910.52 for DR-1862- 2009 Nor'easter \$1,231,189.10 for DR4024-Hurricane Irene

Provide any additional details regarding past events.

Page 28 of

opulation Affected:		Count
	Residential Properties	THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT O
	Businesses / Commercial Properties	
	Public Properties	
	School / Hospitals / House of Worship	
	тота постоя по принцинення в постоя на прости не принцення на принцен	и под
	Provide the number of each type of structure listed above in the project area. Include all structures in the project area.	
-lazards to Mitigate:	Flood	
	List the type of hazards the proposed project will mitigate (i.e. Wind, Seismic, Flood, Fire).	A Committee and the control committee and the control of the contr
_evel of Protection:	One (1) Residential Structure protected against 100-year (1%) flood plus three	foot of freeboard
	Fill in the level of protection the proposed project will provide. List data in Flood Levels (10, 25, 50, 100 mph winds) or example. 23 Structures protected against the 100-year (1%) flood.	Mercalli Scale Earthquake (1-12). For
Jseful Life of the Project:	30 years	

## Scope of Work

Project Type:

Structural Mitigation

Description of the Problem:

The City was approached by the homeowners who are seeking relief from severe repetitive flooding issues. Between flood claims information and homeowner records, there are 12 separate flooding events that have caused damage to the submitted property. The City must pursue any available alternative to protect the life safety and reduce the property risk for these residents.

If no action is taken, this residence will continue to suffer flood damages resulting in continued, and likely heightened, claims filed against the National Flood Insurance Program. Additionally, the health and safety of the property residents will continue to be at risk and the demand on City of Hampton public safety resources during flooding events will continue to be burdened.

Describe the specific problem the proposed project is intended to alleviate.

Description of the ProposedProject: The proposed project will elevate a severe repetitive loss property located at 205 Harbor Drive in the City of Hampton, Virginia. The lowest finished floor will be elevated to a design flood elevation that will not only provide protection to the 1% annual flood level but also an additional three (3) foot freeboard requirement.

The FEMA BCA Tool projects a benefit of \$810,924 over the thirty year life of the project. This estimate is supported by actual flood claims for the property which have exceeded \$190,228.53 to date. The hazards addressed by the project include flooding from excessive rain and tidal events as well as tropical storms/hurricanes which threaten this coastal community.

Describe, in detail, the proposed project. Also, explain how the proposed project will solve the problem(s) and provide the level(s) of protection described above. If any other projects are underway or proposed in the project area, please describe. Also describe any planned, future development in the project area. Please include building code requirements for new development and substantial improvements in the community.

Who will the mitigation activity penefit and/or impact? What are the long-term changes to the areas and entities it protects?

The project will not only reduce claims to the National Flood Insurance Program, but will also protect the health and safety of the residents while reducing demand on City public safety resources during disasters.

Please provide the percent of the population benefiting from this mitigation activity:

0 %

Please explain your response to the above question:

The project will directly impact one home.

Describe how the project is echnically feasible and will be effective in reducing the isk by reducing or eliminating damages to property and/or oss of life in the project area. Please include engineering design parameters and references to the following: oreliminary schematic or engineering drawings/design; applicable building codes, engineering practices and/or pest practices; level of protection (e.g., life safety, 100-yr floor protection with reeboard, 100-yr wind design, etc.)

The City of Hampton has successfully elevated 9 homes to date. Engineering design drawings for this home were secured for DR-1862 Grant but will need to be modified to incorporate deep foundation design (helical piles). This project will protect the structure to the 100 year flood elevation plus a three (3) foot freeboard margin.

Who will manage and complete the mitigation activity?

Will the project address the nazards identified and what isks will remain from all nazards after project mplementation (residual isk)?

The City of Hampton Office of Emergency Management will oversee the project and will coordinate as needed with Community Development, City Attorney's Office, GIS, City Manager's Office and Public Works.

The project will significantly reduce repetitive flood loss to the home. The lowest finished floor will be elevated to a design flood elevation that will not only provide protection to the 1% annual flood level but also an additional three (3) foot freeboard requirement.

# **Grant Application Worksheets**

1 results

synogramics		No securit continuella con accomence con engaga mengaga		
#.	Grant Application Worksheet Title	Amount	Grant Application Worksheet Type	Status
			worksheet type	
1	205 Harbor Drive	\$547,000.00	Elevation	Included

#### Costs

## **Worksheet Cost Type Summary**

Cost Type	Quantity Sum	Unit Cost Avg.	Total Cost Sum
Construction Management Costs	1.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
Elevation/Lifting	1.00	\$130,736.48	\$130,736.48
Engineering Designs	1.00	\$24,500.00	\$24,500.00
Foundation	1.00	\$309,878.90	\$309,878.90
Other	1.00	\$42,884.62	\$42,884.62
Project Management Costs	1.00	\$14,000.00	\$14,000.00

#### **Cost Line Items**

Please specify any project costs that aren't worksheet or site-specific (since those costs will be specified in the individual worksheets). In the Description field please type in a brief (1-3 words) classification of the line item (example: Project Management).

Phased Project:

No

If this project is to be done in 2 phases - A and B.

Match Type Description		Qty Uni		e Pre-Award	Total
Grant Application Workshee	et Total	ana ana mandada a a mangana kina anakara fira may di anaya da fisanci dari kan asi kaba da faba	но <del>мериканда миника помика колонисти</del> (пител селен	essissimin ponta (idminintin materia materia materia del describito continue e propie	\$547,000.00
<b>Grant Application Total</b>					\$0.00
Grand Total					\$547,000.00

Strategic Funds Manageme	nt Initiative
Does this project qualify for SFM?	No

#### **Funding Sources**

Viethod:	By Percent
Estimated FEMA Share:	100 % \$547,000.00
Estimated State Share:	% \$0.00

Non-Federal Share -Estimated Local Share (Include In-Kind Value): % \$0.00

Funding Source /

Other Agency

Total Allocated:	100 % \$547,000.00
Cost Effectiveness:	Provide a detailed description of the cost effectiveness indicating that there is a reasonable expectation that future damage or loss of life will be reduced prevented.
Benefit Cost Ratio	
s Used:	Benefit/Cost Analysis IS applicable to this project
Total Project Benefit:  Benefit Cost Ratio:	\$ 547,000.00 The total of savings realized by this project.

# **Timeline**

# **Project Milestones**

Total # of weeks for entire	90
project:	[

\_ist the major milestones in this project.

Example 1: Demolition of 6 structures and removal of debris

Example 2: Design, Engineering and H & H Studies

Task Description	ration under the description of the second d	Days	
Bid Process, Award, Contract Negotiation and Ratification		150	
Development of Project Schedule and Plan	Market 19 Control of the Control of	60	
Execution of Agreements with Homeowners and Contractors-Notice to Vacate		90	
Construction (Elevation and Foundation Work)		90	
CO, Walk-throughs, Complete Punch List		30	
Grant Close Out		30	
	one and the second seco	450	линенийствинующиний

#### **Alternatives**

\_ist two feasible alternative projects to mitigate the hazards faced in the project area. One alternative is the "No Action Alternative" (section A). This application cannot be reviewed if this section is incomplete.

#### A. No Action Alternative

mpacts with No Action

If no action is taken, this residence will continue to suffer flood damages resulting in continued, and likely heightened, claims filed against the National Flood Insurance Program. Additionally, the health and safety of the property residents will continue to be at risk and the demand on the City of Hampton public safety resources during flooding events will continue to be burdened.

Discuss the impacts on the project area if no action is taken.

#### **B. Other Feasible Alternative**

Discuss a feasible alternative to the proposed project. This could be an entirely different mitigation method or a significant modification to the design of the current proposed project. Please include scope of work, engineering details (if applicable), estimated budget and the impacts of this alternative.

Other Feasible Project
Description and Scope of
Work:

Acquisition: A reasonable alternative to the proposed project would be to acquire the property, demolish and remove the structure, and convert the parcel to green space. This alternative would provide 100% protection from flooding, ensure that residents were not at risk, and eliminate the need for commitment of City public safety resources to the property during flooding events. However, the City does not have the means to maintain disconnected lots across the city and the cohesiveness of this neighborhood would be negatively impacted. Several homes in this neighborhood have previously been elevated, including the home next door that is in the process of being elevated in another of the City's grant awards.

Describe, in detail, the alternative project. Also, explain how the alternative project will solve the problem(s) / provide protection from the hazard(s).

Other Feasible Project Location:

Attach a map or diagram showing the alternative site in relation to the proposed project site and photographs of alternative site.

#### **Funding Sources**

Round figures to the nearest dollar. The maximum FEMA share for HMGP projects is 75%. The other 25% can be made up of State and Local funds as well as in-kind services. HMGP funds may be packaged with other Federal funds, but those funds cannot be used as match. Federal funds which lose their Federal identity at the State level (such as CDBG, ARS, HOME,) may be used for the State or Local match.

	Amount	% of Total	Funding Source / Other Agency
Estimated FEMA Share	\$ 547,000.00	100 %	
Estimated State Share	\$	%	
Non-Federal Share - Estimated Local Share (Include In-Kind Value)	\$	%	
Other Non-FEMA Federal Funds (Do Not Include In Total)	\$	%	
Jpload non-Federal share commitment letter(s).			

mpacts of Other Feasible Alternative Project:

The location of the residence and the fact that the adjacent residences would remain in place would minimize benefit to wetland renewal. The project would not be expected to have any impact on environmental justice, endangered species, or hazardous materials issues and would not be anticipated to have measurable impact on hydrology or the floodplain. The residence is not in or proximate to the City's floodway. The proposed residence is not identified as historically relevant.

Include comments on these issues: Environmental Justice; Endangered Species; Wetlands; Hydrology (Upstream and Downstream Impacts); Floodplein/Floodway; Historic Issues; Hazardous Materials.

Reason for Rejecting Other Feasible Alternative:

The City was approached by the homeowners who are seeking relief from severe repetitive flooding issues. Between flood claims and homeowner records, there are twelve (12) separate flooding events that have caused damage to the submitted property. The City must pursue any available alternative to protect the life safety and reduce the property risk for these residents.

The City of Hampton is not currently considering acquisition projects outside of the City's mapped floodway. In addition to loss of tax revenue, the City is not in a position to maintain geographically diverse properties and must take into account neighborhood aesthetics and whether acquisition of individual properties will provide substantial benefit to management of the floodplain and floodway. Also, in this instance, the homeowners have indicated a desire to retain their home and elevate in place.

## **Acts & Executive Orders**

# National Historic Preservation Act - Historic Buildings and Structures

Please confirm that you have provided the following:	
☑ The property address and original date of construction for each property affected (unless this information is already noted in the Properties section).	
☑ A minimum of two color photographs showing at least three sides of each structure (Please label the photos accordingly).	
☑A diagram or USGS 1:24,000 scale quadrangle map displaying the relationship of the property(s) to the project area.	
2. To help FEMA evaluate the impact of the project, please indicate below any other information you are providing:	
☐ Information gathered about potential historic properties in the project area, including any evidence indicating the age of the building structure and presence of buildings or structures that are listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or within near a National Register listed or eligible historic district. Sources for this information may include the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO/THPO), your local planning office, historic preservation organization, or historical society.	or
☐ Consideration of how the project design will minimize adverse effects on known or potential historic buildings or structures, and any alternatives considered or implemented to avoid or minimize effects on historic buildings or structures. Please address and note associated costs in your project budget.	
☐ For acquisition/demolition projects affecting historic buildings or structures, any data regarding the consideration and feasibility of elevation, relocation, or floodproofing as alternatives to demolition.	
☑ Attached materials or additional comments.	
Comments:  This home is located in an area of historical significance (Greater Wythe); however, this property	
has already been evaluated by FEMA and deemed to not be in keeping architecturally with the colonial nature of the historic area.  Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.	
	ontervari i cada

## National Historic Preservation Act - Archaeological Resources

1. Does your project involve disturbance of ground?

Yes

Please confirm that you have	provided the following:	
☐A description of the groun	nd disturbance by giving the dimensions (area, volume, depth, etc) and location.	
☐The past use of the area t	to be disturbed, noting the extent of previously disturbed ground.	
☐A USGS 1:24,000 scale o	or other site map showing the location and extent of ground disturbance.	
include SHPO/THPO, and/or	tential historic properties, including archaeological sites, in the project area. Sources of this information the Tribe's cultural resources contact if no THPO is designated. Include, if possible, a map showing pric properties to the project area.	•
Comments:	This information will be provided as part of the design drawings which will comply with ASCE/SEI 24-05, land disturbance permitting process, and mitigation plan as part of the bid process post-grant award.	
	t. Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.	_]
	nd Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	To provide the second s
area affected by the project?	ed or endangered species or their critical habitat present in the	No
2. Does your project remove or	affect vegetation?	Yes
Please confirm that you have	provided the following:	
☐ Description of the amount	t (area) and type of vegetation to be removed or affected.	
☐A site map showing the p	roject area and the extent of vegetation affected.	
□Photographs or digital ima	ages that show both the vegetation affected and the vegetation in context of its surroundings.	
☑ Attached materials or add	itional comments.	

Comments:

This information will be provided as part of the design drawings which will comply with ASCE/SEI 24-05, land disturbance permitting process, and mitigation plan as part of the bid process postgrant award.

Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.

3. Is your project in,	near (within 200 feet),	or likely to affect any	type of waterway or body of
vater?			

Yes

Please confirm that you	have provided the following:		
☐A USGS 1:24,000 sc	ale quadrangle map showing the project activities in	relation to all nearby water bodies (within 200 fee	et).
_ ·	at the type of water body nearby including: its dimens ossible changes to the water body, if any. Identify all		•
☐ A photograph or digit	al image of the site showing both the body of water a	and the project area.	
	ussions with the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFV e is the potential for the project to affect any water bo		g any
M Attached materials of	additional comments.		
Comments:		e design drawings which will comply with ASCE/S nd mitigation plan as part of the bid process post	
	Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FE	MA in its review.	i
Clean Water Act, River	s and Harbors Act, and Executive Order 1199	90 (Protection of Wetlands)	
Will the project involve dred	dging or disposal of dredged material, excavation, ad	ding fill material or	No

# Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management)

1. Does a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM), hydrologic study, or some other source indicate that the project is located in or will affect a 100 year loodplain, a 500 year floodplain if a critical facility, an identified regulatory floodway, or an area orone to flooding?

result in any modification to water bodies or wetlands designated as "waters of the U.S" as dentified by the US Army Corps of Engineers or on the National Wetland Inventory?

Yes

No

The residence is located in the 100 year floodplain; however, the project will not have an adverse	
impact on the floodplain.	
2. Does the project alter a watercourse, water flow patterns, or a drainage way, regardless of its loodplain designation?	No
Farmland Protection Policy Act	
1. Will the project convert more than 5 acres of "prime or unique" farmland outside city limits to a non-agricultural use?	No.
RCRA and CERCLA (Hazardous and Toxic Materials)	
1. Is there a reason to suspect there are contaminants from a current or past use on the property associated with the proposed project?	No.
2. Are there any studies, investigations, or enforcement actions related to any of the properties associated with the proposed project?	No.
3. Do any project construction or operation activities involve the use of hazardous or toxic naterials?	No.
4. Do you know if any of the current or past land uses of the property affected by the proposed project or of the adjacent properties are associated with hazardous or toxic materials?	No
Executive Order 12898, Environmental Justice for Low Income and Minority Populations	
1. Are there low income or minority populations in the project's area of effect or adjacent to the project area?	Yes
Please provide the following:	
☐ Description of any disproportionate and adverse effects to these populations.	

	tion affected and the portion of the population that would be dress the adverse impacts in your proposal narrative and bu		lease
☑ Attached materials or add	ditional comments.		
omments:	While minority citizens reside in and around the project ar low income or minority populations.	rea, there will be no adverse impact to	
	Please indicate any information about this project that could assist FEMA in its review.		
ther Environmental/Histo	oric Preservation Laws or Issues		Service and the service and th
Are there other environmental at you are aware of?	al/historic preservation requirements associated with this pro	ject	No
Are there controversial issue	s associated with this project?		No
. Have you conducted any put roposed mitigation project?	olic meeting or solicited public input or comments on your sp	ecific	No
ummary and Cost of Pote	ential Impacts		300000000000000000000000000000000000000
•	ons above, have you identified any aspects of your proposed o impact environmental resources or historic properties?	I	No

# **Evaluation Criteria**

## **Evaluation Criteria**

Does your community	Yes				
participate in the Community Rating System (CRS)?	CRS Number:				
	515527				
s your community a	Yes				
Cooperating Technical Partner (CTP)?					
s your community a Firewise Community?	No				
Has your community adopted	Yes				
building codes consistent with the International Building Codes?					
Has your community adopted	No				
the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 5000 Code?					
Have the community's	Yes				
ouilding codes been assessed on the Building	BCEGS Rating:				
Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)?	3				
s your entity delinquent on any federal debt?	No				
Does your community meet the definition of a small and	No				
mpoverished community?					
s the project located in the State's designated coastal zone?	Yes				
Provide a detailed description	205 Harbor Drive, Hampton, Virginia 23661				
of the proposed project ocation:	For example: municipality, street, address, major intersecting streets and other important landmarks.				

Please upload all supporting documentation, such as maps hat clearly identify the ocation and critical features o the project such as opography, waterways, adjacent community poundaries, etc.

How will this mitigation activity leverage involvement of partners to enhance its outcome?

The Hampton Office of Emergency Management will oversee the project with collaboration from City Manager's Office, City Attorney's Office, Community Development, GIS, and Public Works.

-low will this mitigation activity offer long-term inancial and social benefits or promote resiliency for the community?

Fransportation Letter for Acquisition Projects In accordance with the goals of the Hampton Roads Hazard Mitigation Plan, the City of Hampton seeks to mitigate repetitive loss/severe repetitive loss residential properties. Hampton has multiple home elevation projects which are completed, in progress, or pending and desires to elevate this severe repetitive loss property at the request of the homeowners. This project will increase the safety of the residents while allowing them to remain in their home and reduce the burden on public safety.

#### **Required Documentation Checklist**

Vlatch letter from community	No
⁻roject Location Map	Yes
3enefit/Cost Supporting	Yes
Documentation	
JS Army Corps of Engineers	No
_etter for Acquisition Projects	
√irginia Department of	No

# Agreements

# A. Maintenance Agreement

All applicants whose proposed project involves the retrofit or modification of existing public property or whose proposed project would result in the public ownership or management of property, structures, or facilities, must first sign and attach the following agreement prior to submitting their application to FEMA.						
NOTE: those applicants whose project only involves the retrofitting, elevation, or other modification to private property where the ownership will remain private after project completion DO NOT have to complete this form.)						
rnload Agreement Template						
Applicant Certifications						
n applicant whose proposed project involves elevation of one or more residential structures or relocation or acquisition and demolition of a structures must sign and attach the following certifications.						
nload Agreement Template						

C.	Sul	bsti <sup>.</sup>	tutic	n P	ron	erties
•	~~	~~		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. ~ ~	011100

Substitution Properties:	
	Foler addresses for propedies that can be substituted if there are additional funds available

#### oject F0-S33: Grant Application - City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure ing in Progress: VDEM Review of Grant Application (Step 2 of 5)

**Grant Application Summary** 

The Grant Application defines all project details including Scope-of-Work, all costs, vorksheets, and other required data and documents,

Title:

City of Hampton-Elevation of Residential Structure

Eligible Amount: \$547,000.00

Funding Sources: Federal - \$547,000.00 State - \$0.00 Local - \$0.00

Edit

FEMA Obligation Data:

Federal Number - < no value > Date of Obligation Letter - < no value > CATEX Comments - < no value > Project POP Date - < no value >

Related Links:

View Grant Pre-Application, View Project

Workflow Summary

Current Step:

2) VDEM Review of Grant Application

Description: Review of Grant Application by VDEM Hazard

Mitigation Program Manager or designee

Recipients:

VDEM Hazard Mitigation Program Manager (State), VDEM Hazard

Mitigation Coordinator (State)

Last Advanced:

Nov 2, 2018 at 10:11 AM by Sara Snowden

Last Note

Nov 2, 2018 at 10:11 AM by Sara Snowden

Added: Submission:

Nov 2, 2018 at 10:11 AM by Sara Snowden

Mitigation Grant

FMA 2018 Flood Mitigation

Assistance

Flood Mitigation Assistance Declared: February 15, 2018 Closed: November 2, 2018

Applicant

Hampton, City of

Name (Legal): City of Hampton

Independent City of Hampton

City/County FIPS #: 650-35000-00

FEIN #: 54-6001336

Vendor#:

Type; City

Physical/Mailing: 1300 Thomas Street

Hampton, VA, 23669

Project

F#S#33

City of Hampton-Elevation of

Residential Structure E Elevation

Project POP Deadline:

Eligible: \$0.00

Federal: \$0.00 (100%)

Un-Expended Eligible: \$547,000.00

Comments

Advance Explanation - Sara Snowder

Today at 10:11 AM

CITY OF HAMPTON- The only Authorized Agent should be Mary Bunting, City Manager. Please revise authorization level of Sara Snowden, Deputy Coordinator. Thank you.

Related to Step 2 - VDEM Review of Grant Application

11/2/2

